

भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY.

सं० १५] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार अप्रैल १०, १९६५/चैत्र २०, १८८७

No. 15] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, APRIL 10, 1965/CHAITRA 20, 1887

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र २९ मार्च, १९६५ तक प्रकाशित किए गए :—

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 29th March, 1965:—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
59	S. O. 996, dated 25th March, 1965	Delimitation Commission	Proposals in respect of distribution of seats to the State of Maharashtra in the House of People etc.
60	S. O. 997, dated 25th March 1965	Do.	Proposals in respect of distribution of seats to the State of West Bengal in the House of people etc.
61	S.O. 998, dated 25th March, 1965	Ministry of Finance	Extending the Expenditure-tax Act to the Union Territory of Dadra and Nagar Haveli subject to modifications specified therein.
	S.O. 999, dated 25th March, 1965	Do.	Extending the Expenditure tax Act to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, subject to modifications specified therein.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
	S.O. 1000, dated 25th March, 1965	Ministry of Finance	Extending the Expenditure-tax Act to the Union Territory of Pondicherry, subject to modifications specified therein.
62	S. O. 1001, dated 29th March, 1965	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting	Approval of films specified therein.

ऊपर लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियां प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुंच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

भाग II—खण्ड 3 उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किए गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 31st March 1965

S.O. 1113.—In pursuance of section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, the Election Commission hereby publishes the order pronounced on the 25th February, 1965, by the Election Tribunal, Ernakulam.

IN THE COURT OF THE ELECTION TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM

Thursday, the 25th day of February 1965, 6th day of Phalguna 1886.

PRESENT

Shri K. Sadasivan, B.A., M.L., Election Tribunal

ELECTION PETITION No. 8/1964

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| M. C. Abraham, Member of the Legislative Assembly of Kerala, Advocate, Kottayam | } Petitioner |
| By Adv. Shri Kalathil Velayudhan Nair. | |
| 1. Salay Mohamed Sait, Member, Rajya Sabha. Fasia Mansion, Manthra Road, Cochin. | } |
| 2. C. K. Govindan Nair, Member, Rajya Sabha, Tellicherry. (Died) | |
| 3. Kizhedath Damodaran, Member, Rajya Sabha, Nangakkil House, Guruvayoor. | |
| 4. N. Gopala Pillai, Jayavilasom, Attingal. | |
| 5. Annie Thayyil, Advocate, Ernakulam. | |
| No. 1 by Adv. Shri V. R. Krishna Iyer | |
| No. 5 by Adv. Shri S. Easwara Iyer | |

This petition coming on for final hearing on 15th February 1965 in the presence of the advocates mentioned above and having stood over for consideration till this day, the Court passed the following:—

ORDER

This petition is by an elector in the election to the Council of States by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Kerala, praying that the election of respondent No. 1 to the Council of States by the elected members of the Kerala Legislative Assembly held on 25th March 1964 be declared void and the 5th respondent be declared to have been duly elected to the said Council of States. The petitioner also prays for a recount of the votes cast in the said election. The reasons alleged for the declaration are:—

- (A) Corrupt practices were committed by the first respondent and by other persons with the consent of the first respondent;
- (B) The result of the election in so far as it concerned the first respondent has been materially affected by the corrupt practices committed in the interests of the first respondent by his agents.

The particulars of corrupt practices committed by the first respondent are:—

(1) The first respondent and other persons with his consent paid money to the Praja Socialist Party in the Kerala Legislative Assembly and the members of the Praja Socialist Party in the Kerala Assembly with the object of inducing the members of that party to vote for the first respondent;

(2) The first respondent and other persons with his consent paid gratification to other members of the Kerala Legislative Assembly with the object of inducing them to vote for the first respondent;

(3) The first respondent and other persons with his consent offered gratification to members of the Kerala Legislative Assembly with the object of inducing them to vote for him;

(4) The first respondent and other persons with his consent hired or procured motor cars for the conveyance of electors to and from the polling station. Electors from various parts of the State were brought to and taken from the polling station in motor cars procured or hired by the first respondent or his agents or by other persons with the consent of the first respondent or his agents; and

(5) At the counting of votes a number of votes which were invalid were counted as valid. Also, a number of votes which were cast in favour of the fifth respondent were treated as cast in favour of the first respondent and then counted. The result of the election was vitiated by the above illegalities.

2. The first respondent secured 32 votes, the second respondent 33 votes, the third respondent 33, the fourth respondent 'nil' votes and the 5th respondent 26 votes. Respondents 1, 2 and 3 having secured more votes than the other contesting candidates, they were declared duly elected to the three seats by the elected members of the Legislative Assembly of Kerala.

3. On the grounds stated above, the petitioner prays that (a) the election of the first respondent to the Council of States held on 25th March 1964 be declared void; (b) The 5th respondent be declared duly elected to the Council of States; (c) The votes be recounted and (d) The petitioner be awarded his costs.

4. Of the five respondents to the petition, Respondent No. 2 C. K. Govindan Nair died since the filing of the petition.

5. The first respondent entered appearance and stated that the particulars of corrupt practice stated in the petition are vague and unless fuller and clearer statements are furnished he would not be in a position to file his written statement. A petition under Section 83 of the Representation of People Act requesting the Court to call upon the petitioner to furnish particulars including as full a statement as possible of the names of the parties alleged to have committed such corrupt practices, the date and place of the commission of each such practice etc. was also filed. That petition was allowed and the petitioner was directed by the Court to furnish details regarding the corrupt practices. The said order is appended hereto as Annexure I. In pursuance of the Court's direction the petitioner filed a statement on 30th July 1964 in which it was averred that the first respondent had paid or caused to be paid Rs. 15000/- to the Praja Socialist Party

a few days before the election for the purpose of securing the votes of the members of the said party in the Kerala Legislative Assembly. In clarification of the allegation that voters were conveyed in taxi cars hired or procured, it was stated that Shri K. Balakrishna Menon, a voter, was conveyed from Wadakkancherry to the polling station at Trivandrum and back in K.L.A. No. 500, a taxi car hired or procured by the first respondent. Thereafter the first respondent filed his written statement raising the following contentions:—No corrupt practice was committed by the first respondent or by anybody else with his consent. In no view of the case could the 5th respondent be declared elected; nor could the petitioner ask for or secure a declaration to that effect. The allegations in para 7 of the petition are denied *in toto*. The first respondent has never paid any money to the Praja Socialist Party in the Kerala Legislative Assembly. Nor has any money been paid to the members of the Praja Socialist Party. There has been no payment of money to anybody for the purpose of inducing any member of the Praja Socialist Party to vote for the first respondent. The allegation that Rs. 15000/- was paid to the Praja Socialist Party is totally false. No payment was ever made to members of the Kerala Legislative Assembly with the object of inducing them to vote for the first respondent. No vehicle was hired or procured by the first respondent or anybody with his consent to convey the electors to and from the polling station. It is false to state that electors from various parts were brought to and taken from the polling station in motor cars procured or hired by the first respondent or his agent or by other persons with his consent. Shri K. Balakrishna Menon was never conveyed from Wadakkancherry to the polling station and back from there in any car hired or procured by the first respondent or his agent. The electors were members of the Legislative Assembly and the Assembly was in session at the time of the polling. The polling station itself was the office of the Secretary of the Legislative Assembly in the Assembly buildings. No invalid votes were counted as valid; nor were any votes which were really cast in favour of the fifth respondent treated as cast in favour of the first respondent. The election has not been vitiated by any illegalities. The election petition is liable to be dismissed for want of necessary particulars as required by Section 83 of the Representation of People Act. The allegations are all vague and too general. In the absence of necessary particulars, it is not possible for the respondent to meet squarely the charges levelled against him. The petitioner should not be allowed to lead evidence without furnishing particulars of the charges he proposes to prove. The particulars furnished by the petitioner on 30th July 1964 under the order of the Court do not satisfy the requirements of Section 83. It has not been stated to whom Rs. 15000/- was paid. The statement made is that Rs. 15000/- was paid to the Praja Socialist Party. To whom the money was paid on behalf of this entity has not been disclosed. For want of particulars, the first respondent prays that this allegation may be ignored by the Tribunal. In the case of conveying of voters in taxi cars the solitary instance cited is that of Shri K. Balakrishna Menon and that has emphatically been denied by the first respondent.

6. None of the other respondents has filed any written statement.

7. Along with the written statement, a petition I.A. No. 3 of 1964 was also filed by the first respondent asking for the leave of the Court to file interrogatories with a view to get more detailed particulars regarding the corrupt practice. That petition was allowed by order dated 11th August 1964 (Annexure II). It was stated in the order that "it is desirable for a fair and effectual trial of the election petition that the names of persons who gave the bribes as also the names of the persons who accepted the same are mentioned and also the names of persons who carried the voters in motor cars as also the names of persons who were so carried. Such particulars if furnished would only shorten the trial". Interrogatories were accordingly allowed to be served on the petitioner. Answers to the interrogatories were filed by the petitioner on 31st August 1964. Before that, on 10th August 1964 issues were framed in the case. In all, 9 issues were raised. On 4th September 1964, the first respondent filed I.A. No. 4 of 1964 praying for recasting of the issues. It was stated by the first respondent that the lacuna in supplying particulars of the corrupt practice was still there even after the petitioner had answered the interrogatories. The respondent therefore requested the Court to retain only issues 2, 5 and 9 and strike out all the other issues. The Court by its order dated 11th September 1964 (Annexure III) deleted issue No. 1 and allowed the other issues to be retained.

8. Then on 3rd October 1964 another petition I.A. No. 6 of 1964 was moved on behalf of the first respondent under Section 151 and Order 6 rule 16 of the Code

of Civil Procedure and Sections 83 and 90 of the Representation of People Act, praying for striking out all the allegations in the election petition. The ground alleged for striking out is that the petitioner had not complied with the mandatory provision of Section 83 of the Representation of People Act. Section 83(b) of the Act makes it obligatory on the part of the petitioner to give full particulars of the alleged corrupt practice including as full a statement as possible of the names of the parties alleged to have committed such practice and the date and place of the commission of each such practice. The Court considered the question in all its aspects and directed by its order dated 10th October 1964 (Annexure IV) that the trial need be proceeded with only on two of the corrupt practices alleged viz. (1) payment of Rs. 15000/- to the Praja Socialist Party in the Kerala Assembly by the first respondent or caused to be paid by him and (2) the carrying of Shri K. Balakrishna Menon in a taxi car (K.L.A. 300) hired or procured by the first respondent or his agent, from Wadakkancherry to the polling station and back from there. Evidence was accordingly concentrated on these two points only.

9. The issues as recast by order dated 11th September 1964 are as follows:—

- (I) Is the allegation that Rs. 15000/- was paid to the Praja Socialist Party of the Kerala Legislative Assembly by the 1st respondent or caused to be paid by him to induce them to vote for him true? If so has it materially affected the validity of the election?
- (II) Was any gratification paid to other member or members of the Kerala Legislative Assembly by the 1st respondent or by anybody with his consent?
- (III) Was any car hired or procured by the 1st respondent or by others with his consent for conveying electors to and from the Polling Station?
- (IV) Was Shri K. Balakrishna Menon, M.L.A. conveyed from Wadakkancherry to the Polling Booth at Trivandrum and back in Taxi Car (K.L.A. 300) by the 1st respondent or other persons with his consent? If so has the result of the election been materially affected by it?
- (V) Were any invalid votes counted as valid? Were any votes cast in favour of respondent No. 5 treated and counted as those cast in favour of respondent No. 1? Is any recounting of votes necessary?
- (VI) Is the election of respondent No. 1 void for all or any of the reasons stated in the petition read with the affidavit filed on 30th July 1964?
- (VII) Is the prayer that the 5th respondent be declared duly elected allowable?
- (VIII) What should be the order as to costs?

10. The issue arising for consideration under the first head is issue No. 1 and that may presently be considered. The allegation is that Rs. 15000/- was paid by the first respondent or caused to be paid by him to the Praja Socialist Party in the Kerala Legislative Assembly to induce the members of the said party to exercise their vote in his favour. There is absolutely no evidence in support of this allegation. The office-bearers of the Praja Socialist Party were examined before me and the allegation has stoutly been denied by them. PW 2 is Mr. K. Chandra-Sekharan who was the Chairman of the Praja Socialist Party at the time of the impugned election. He was a minister in the Congress P.S.P. Coalition ministry. PW. 4 was a prominent member of the Praja Socialist Assembly Party and PW. 15 Mr. P. K. Kunju was the leader of the Praja Socialist Party in the Legislative Assembly. The allegation that Rs. 15000/- was received by the party by way of gratification for influencing the members of the party to vote for the first respondent was put to these witnesses and the same was discountenanced by them in the strongest terms possible. An item of documentary evidence was also ushered in, to substantiate the allegation and that is a copy of a letter stated to have been written by PW. 3 who was a member of the Praja Socialist Assembly Party to PW. 15 the leader of the party. Ext. X(1) is that letter. The letter has been produced by the 5th respondent Mrs. Annie Thayyil the defeated Congress candidate. Her case is that while she was exploring the possibility of filing an election petition, one day herself and PW. 15 met at the residence of a common friend one Mr. P. I. Joseph, and there, in the course of their conversation PW. 15 showed her the original of Ext. X(1) and told her that if she were prepared to pay him Rs. 1000/- the letter would be handed over to her. She said that she had to consult her party leader before giving a definite reply. At the same time she was allowed to take a copy of it and a copy [Ext. X(1)] was accordingly taken in Mr. Joseph's printed letter-head. PW. 3 who is alleged to have written this letter is a very prominent member of the Praja Socialist Assembly Party

and as such considerable reliance was placed on the letter by the learned counsel for the petitioner. The letter is in Malayalam and I am giving below an English rendering of it.

“

11-4-1964.

To

Janab P. K. Kunju, Chairman of the P.S.P
Parliamentary party.

Sir,

These are favourable times for strengthening the P.S.P. I hear that our party has received a certain amount. If I am given my quota of the amount so received, I would within a week enlist one thousand members for the party. The best way to help the party is to increase its member-strength. Therefore please see that my share of the amount is immediately handed over to me to be spent for the betterment of the party. If payment is put off the whole amount might be lost by somebody devoting it for some other purpose.”

Pw. 3 has denied the authorship of this letter. Mr. Joseph Pallivathukkal in whose house the whole thing transpired, could have thrown some light in the matter because according to the 5th respondent the original letter was itself entrusted to him to be delivered over to her as and when the money was paid. Steps were taken to the said Joseph at the instance of the petitioner, but he after accepting summons, purposely escaped from the jurisdiction of the Court. All possible coercive steps were subsequently taken, but he could not be traced out. It is reported that he had left for Bombay to attend the eucharistic congress but did not return and his whereabouts also are not known. The petitioner wants the Court to infer from his dis-appearance that it is out of reluctance to speak the truth that he is purposely keeping himself away from the jurisdiction of the Court. It is impossible to draw any such inference. All that the petitioner says about Ext. X(1) even if accepted, the case of the petitioner is not in the least improved because in the first place there is no reference anywhere in the letter that Rs. 15000/- was received by the party from the first respondent Salay Mohammed, or from his agent or from any other person. Of course the letter speaks of a recent enrichment by the party, but there is no whisper as to the source of the money. There is not the slightest clue furnished by the letter to lead to the inference that the enrichment was in connection with the election to the Raja Sabha which was held on 25th March 1964. Bribery to amount to a corrupt practice as contemplated in Section 123(1) of the Act must be any gift, offer or promise by a candidate or his agent or any other person of any gratification to any person whomsoever with the object directly or indirectly of inducing an elector to vote or refrain from voting at the election. So, even if Ext. X(1) is accepted, the position is that the Praja Socialist Party in the Kerala Assembly had recently a “windfall” from some source or other, and one of the members of the party demanded his share of the amount so received, and he made the demand with the laudable object of enlisting members for the party. So long as there is no reference to the election in question or the first respondent or somebody on his behalf the letter has only to be discarded as something that has no bearing on the issue before us.

11. The learned Counsel for the petitioner emphasised the fact that the decision of the Praja Socialist Party to support the first respondent who had no political backing or experience, should be viewed with suspicion, especially in view of the statement made by the first respondent to a paper representative some days after the election that his success at the election “was all a business”. Suspicion however strong cannot take us anywhere especially in dealing with a corrupt practice which like a criminal charge has to be proved beyond all possibility of doubt. There is nothing surprising in Mr. Salay Mohammed Saif contesting this particular election, because the circumstances as is evident from the statements of the petitioner's witnesses themselves, were favourable and quite conducive to the success of a candidate not sponsored by any political party. He had already enlisted the support of the Muslim League who had eleven votes and it was with that support that he approached the Praja Socialist Party who had sixteen members. Thus, he had at his command 27 votes; normally the minimum for ensuring a success is 32 votes. The balance five votes he expected to get from the congress members themselves and nobody could have pooh-poohed the idea since there was no unanimity in the congress party at the time. On A. V. Joseph was the congress nominee suggested by the Kerala Pradesh Congress Committee, but that was not

accepted by the centre and the name of the 5th respondent was sponsored by them in his place. This interference by the Congress High Command caused dissatisfaction to the Congress M.I.As. and the calculative first respondent thought—and rightly too—that he could exploit the wounded prestige of the local congress men to the best of his advantage and thus win the election. From the mere fact that the first respondent is not a prominent figure in political circles, one cannot jump to the conclusion that it is by purchasing votes that he was able to win the election. In the absence of acceptable and reliable evidence in support of the allegation, it is impossible for me to enter a finding in favour of the petitioner on issue No. 1. The issue is accordingly found against.

12. The other corrupt practice pressed before me was the alleged conveyance of one of the voters Sri K. Balakrishna Menon from the polling station at Trivandrum to his residence at Wadakkancherry. This is covered by issue No. 4. Even though the issue takes in the carrying of Mr. Balakrishna Menon from Wadakkancherry to the polling station at Trivandrum also, evidence was let in only in respect of his having been carried from Trivandrum to Wadakkancherry. The case is that he was conveyed in a taxi car (K.L.A. 300) hired or procured by the first respondent or his agent. The hiring or procuring of a vehicle for the conveyance of an elector by a candidate or his agent or by any other person, is a corrupt practice falling under Section 123(5) of the Act. The point to be borne in mind is that the hiring or procuring must have been by the candidate, or his agent or any other person for the candidate. The case of the petitioner is that when the polling was over, the taxi in question (K.L.A. 300) was placed at the disposal of Mr. Balakrishna Menon by the first respondent and he was sent back to his house at Wadakkancherry in the said car and the whole expenses were met by him (the first respondent). The witnesses mainly relied on in proof of this allegation are P.W. 6 Shri K. Balakrishna Menon, P.W. 13 the taxi driver and P.W. 15 Sri P. K. Kunju, the leader of the Praja Socialist Party. Shri Balakrishna Menon on his way to Wadakkancherry met with an accident at Puthukad and in that connection the Sub-Inspector Puthukad Police Station and the Head Constable attached to the same police station (P.Ws. 8 and 9) and two other witnesses who had witnessed the incident (P.Ws. 10 and 11) were also examined. P.W. 13 the driver would swear that the taxi was arranged by Sri P. K. Kunju at the portico in front of the Assembly hall when the first respondent and Sri Balakrishna Menon were also present. The fare fixed was five annas per running mile. Rs. 30/- was paid in advance, at Trivandrum by Sri P. K. Kunju and the balance was also paid by him after the trip on the basis of a chit that Sri Balakrishna Menon had issued to him through the driver. It was in the presence of the first respondent that all these arrangements were made and a hundred rupee note was handed over by him to Sri P. K. Kunju which he in turn handed over to the driver and the latter took it to a nearby shop for change and it was from that amount that Rs. 30/- was paid in advance. At Quilon, on the way, the car was faced with an engine trouble and Rs. 10 was paid by Sri Balakrishna Menon for the repair. Then the journey continued and at Puthukad a child all of a sudden jumped on the road in front of the car and the car had to be swerved to a side to avoid hitting the child. Even though the child was not hit, it somehow fell down on the side of the road. This incident created a stir at the place and several persons were attracted to the scene. Sri Balakrishna Menon had to report the matter to the Puthukad police station and P.Ws. 8 and 9, the S.I. and H.C. respectively were brought to the scene. The matter was somehow squared up by paying Rs. 25/- to the parents of the child. This amount was also paid by Sri Balakrishna Menon. Then a further payment of Rs. 10/- was made by him at his residence at Wadakkancherry. Stating these facts, a letter addressed to Sri P. K. Kunju was entrusted by him to the driver and according to the driver on delivery of the letter to Sri P. K. Kunju the balance was paid by him. Sri Balakrishna Menon as P.W. 6 has admitted the fact that it was in P.W. 13's taxi that he returned from Trivandrum after the polling. But he does not agree in the details spoken to by the driver as to how the taxi car was arranged. Sri P. K. Kunju is a longstanding and trusted friend of his and he enquired of him whether he could be lifted to Wadakkancherry in his car. Sri P. K. Kunju said that his car was otherwise engaged, but a relation of his was going to Kayamkulam in a taxi and Sri Menon could accommodate himself in that car upto Kayamkulam and from there he could make his own bargain with the driver so that in the same conveyance he could continue the journey. On that understanding he got into the taxi, but at Quilon the friend who was travelling with him broke his journey and himself was left alone in the car. The car had a break down at Quilon and towards the repair charges he had to pay Rs. 20/- plus Rs. 5/- for the personal needs of the driver. His arrangement with

the driver was that he would pay him a lump sum of Rs. 60/- for taking him to Wadakkancherry, giving the driver the liberty to take other passengers also in the back seat. At Puthukad to square up the accident, he had to pay Rs. 25/- and towards petrol another Rs. 20/- had also to be paid. The allegation that the taxi was hired for him by the first respondent has been emphatically denied by him. This version about the conveyance employed by him does not tally with the version given by the driver. According to the driver the total amount paid by Sri Balakrishna Menon was Rs. 45/- but on a calculation of the payments made as spoken to by him the amount would come to Rs. 70/-. The driver's version is supported by Ext. P-4 chit passed on to P.W. 15 by Sri Balakrishna Menon through the driver. The amount shown in Ext. P-4 is Rs. 45/- only. From Ext. P-4 it would appear that the idea was that the balance would be paid by Sri P. K. Kunju himself. Otherwise, there was no purpose in issuing such a chit. The total mileage travelled has also been noted in the chit. The purpose in issuing the chit according to Sri Balakrishna Menon was two-fold; firstly he had to apprise Sri P. K. Kunju of the incident at Puthukad and secondly he wanted to avoid Sri P. K. Kunju paying over again. Neither of these reasons is convincing. Sri P. K. Kunju's statement if accepted, it would appear that the arrangement for his travel from Kayamkulam was left to be entered into by Sri Menon himself. He had nothing to do with it. All that he had done for Mr. Menon was that a free lift was arranged for him from Trivandrum to Quilon. He has also denied having received Ext. P-4. He does not remember who the driver was and no money was paid by him towards taxi fare for conveying Sri Balakrishna Menon from Trivandrum. If that were the case one wonders why the chit noting the mileage covered and the amount paid was issued to Sri P. K. Kunju. The taxi, according to Sri P. K. Kunju, was engaged by a relation of his and not by him. I am afraid the entire facts relating to Sri Balakrishna Menon's trip from Trivandrum to Wadakkancherry have not been placed before me. The conduct of Sri Balakrishna Menon in having issued a chit like Ext. P-4, would probabilise the petitioner's case that Sri P. K. Kunju had a hand in arranging the taxi. But that is not enough. The corrupt practice alleged must strictly be proved. In the present case even conceding that Sri P. K. Kunju had his part to play in arranging the taxi there is the further duty on the part of the petitioner to show that the taxi was arranged by him as the agent of the first respondent for which there is no proof at all. The driver has of course stated that Salay Mohammed Sait the first respondent, was also present at the portico of the Assembly hall when the taxi was arranged. But no weight can be attached to his statement in view of his own admission in cross-examination that Salay Mohammed Sait was not known to him at all and it was Sri Balakrishna Menon who told him that the person who stood there was Salay Mohammed Sait. In other words, his information about Sait is hearsay only. The evidence of P.Ws. 8 and 9, the Sub Inspector and the Head Constable respectively, whose services were requisitioned in settling the accident at Puthukad is also relied on to fasten liability upon the first respondent in arranging the taxi. The statement of the Sub Inspector P.W. 8, is not of much help because the information he was able to gather from the driver was that the taxi was arranged by Sri P. K. Kunju. The first respondent does not figure anywhere in his statement. But the Head Constable P.W. 9, has gone a step further and stated that he was told by the driver that the taxi was arranged by Sri P. K. Kunju on behalf of Salay Mohammed Sait. The Head Constable's information must be considered as third hand because he was told by the driver who himself was told by Sri Balakrishna Menon that it was at the instance of Salay Mohammed Sait that the taxi was arranged by Sri P. K. Kunju. P.W. 10 is one Lonappan who was present at the scene of accident. His evidence is also of no help because his source of information is also the driver who himself had no direct knowledge. P.W. 11 does not swear to this aspect of the case at all. From the available material it is impossible to find that the corrupt practice of hiring or procuring vehicle as contemplated in Section 123(5) of the Act was committed by the first respondent. A press statement alleged to have been made by Sri Balakrishna Menon was also brought to my notice in this connection. The press statement appeared in the "Thozhilali" dated 17th October 1964. Therein, Sri Balakrishna Menon has come forward with an explanation as to how he happened to travel in a taxi after the voting and how much amount was paid by him etc. The fact that the representative of the "Thozhilali" had interviewed him at his residence has been admitted by him. But the facts reported, according to him, are not fully correct and the statement was not intended for publication also. Some of the facts stated by him to the press representative are inconsistent with other items of evidence especially Ext. P-4 already placed before Court. It was unwise and highly objectionable on the part of Sri K. Balakrishna Menon to have made such a statement, touching a matter already before Court. His explanation that the statement was

not intended for publication looks funny, because interviews are sought by press representatives to collect materials from important persons, for publication only. After having discussed with the press representative his political future, with particular reference to the charge that illegal gratification was received by the Praja Socialist Party to support the first respondent's candidate, there is no virtue in later on saying that his talk with the press representative was all a private affair. If he were earnest in his purpose he should have without wasting a single moment, rushed to the press with a repudiation, which of course he did not think worth the while to do. A witness to a proceeding before giving evidence in Court divulging the matter to the press with an explanation of the evidence given by the previous witnesses touching the same matter is something that cannot be expected of a dutiful and well meaning citizen. Such press interviews will only cause embarrassment to the Court and it is regrettable that a man of Sri Balakrishna Menon's experience and position should have thought it advisable to do so. I leave it at that. The press statement is not of any persuasive effect since it has not been properly proved. The Correspondent could have been cited and confronted with this statement. That having not been done, no conclusion can be based on the so called statement. No other material was relied on by the petitioner in proof of his allegation. I have already stated that the materials before Court are too insufficient and flimsy to justify a finding of corrupt practice against the first respondent. The issue is hence found against him.

13. *Issues Nos. 2 and 3.*—The allegations in these issues are too general to deserve particular consideration. The payment of gratification to other members of the Kerala Assembly and the hiring or procuring of vehicles for the conveyance of other electors etc. were not pursued. These issues are therefore found against the petitioner.

14. *Issue No. 5.*—No question turns upon the counting of votes. The issue was not pressed and it is accordingly found against.

15. *Issue No. 6.*—The election of the first respondent cannot be said to be void for any reason. Issue found against.

16. *Issue No. 7.*—It necessarily follows that the 5th respondent is not entitled to any declaration.

In the result, the two corrupt practices alleged are found against and the petition is dismissed. The petitioner shall pay the first respondent Rs 250/- by way of costs.

Taken down at my dictation by the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him, corrected by me and pronounced in Open Court, this the 25th day of February 1965.

Sd/-
Election Tribunal.

APPENDIX

Petitioner's Exhibits

- | | | |
|------|-------------------------|---|
| P-1. | 12-12-1963 to 30-6-1964 | Account Book maintained by Praja Socialist Party, Kerala. |
| P-2. | Nil. | Chit describing the names of certain persons with amounts. |
| P-3. | 17-10-1964 | Thozhilali Daily News Paper. |
| P-4. | 25-3-1964 | Letter sent by Sri K. Balakrishna Menon to Sri P. K. Kunju. |

Respondents' Exhibit

Nil.

Exhibit produced by witness

Exh. N(1) 11-4-1964. Copy of letter sent to Sri P. K. Kunju

Petitioner's witnesses

1. M. C. Abraham (Petitioner).
2. K. Chandrasekharan.

3. Joseph Chazhikatu.
4. P. Viswambharan.
5. K. C. Sebastian.
6. K. Balakrishna Menon.
7. Janardhanan.
8. Paulose.
9. Kochunni Menon
10. Lonappan.
11. Vareed.
12. Abu Mohammed.
13. Antony.
14. Gopala Pillai.
15. P. K. Kunju.
16. Annie Thayyil (5th respondent).

First respondent's witness

1. Salay Mohammed Sait.

Sd/-
Election Tribunal.

ANNEXURE I

IN THE COURT OF THE ELECTION TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM

(District Court, Ernakulam)

Monday, the 27th day of July 1964/5th day of Sravana 1886

PRESENT:

Shri K. Sadasivan, B.A., M.L. Election Tribunal

I.A. No. 2 of 1964

in

Election Petition No. 8/1964

Salay Mohammed Sait, Member, Rajya Sabha, Fasia Mansion, Manthra Road, Cochin.—Petitioner—*1st respondent* in the Election Petition.

By Adv. Shri V. R. Krishna Iyer.

M. C. Abraham, Member of the Legislative Assembly of Kerala, Advocate, Kottayam—Respondent—*Petitioner* in the Election Petition.

By Adv. Shri Kalathil Velayudhan Nair.

Petition under Section 83 of the Representation of the People Act and Section 151 and Order VI, Rule 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure, praying the Tribunal to order the petitioner in the Election Petition to furnish details regarding the alleged corrupt practice.

This petition coming on for hearing this day, the Court passed the following:—

ORDER

The petitioner will furnish the details regarding the alleged corrupt practice within three days.

Pronounced in Open Court, this the 27th day of July 1964/5th day of Sravana 1886.

Sd./-
Election Tribunal.

ANNEXURE II

IN THE COURT OF THE ELECTION TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM.

(District Court, Ernakulam)

Tuesday, the 11th day of August 1964/20th day of Sravana 1886.

PRESENT:

Shri K. Sadasivan, B.A., M.L. District Judge (Election Tribunal)

I.A. No. 3 of 1964

in

Election Petition No. 8 of 1964

Salay Mohammed Sait, Member Rajya Sabha, Fasia Mansion, Manthra Road, Cochin.—*Petitioner*—1st respondent in the Election Petition.

By Adv. Shri V. R. Krishna Iyer.

M. C. Abraham, Member of the Legislative Assembly of Kerala, Advocate, Kottayam.—*Respondent*—*Petitioner* in the Election Petition.

By Adv. Shri Kalathi Velayudhan Nair.

Petition under Order XI, Rule 1, C. P. C. read with Section 92 of the Representation of the People Act, praying the Tribunal to grant leave to serve interrogatories to the respondent herein.

The petition coming on for hearing on 10th August 1964 in the presence of Shri V. R. Krishna Iyer, Advocate for the petitioner and Shri Kalathil Velayudhan Nair, Advocate for the respondent and having stood over for consideration till this day, the Court passed the following:—

ORDER

The first respondent has sought the leave of the Court to serve interrogatories on the petitioner. He has also filed draft interrogatories sought to be served on the petitioner. The petitioner opposes the prayer on the ground, firstly, that there is no need for any interrogatories being served and secondly, that the interrogatories sought to be served are really in the nature of cross-examination to test the credibility of the petitioner. The purpose of serving interrogatories, according to the learned counsel for the petitioner, is twofold; firstly to shorten the procedure and secondly, to get if possible admissions from the party interrogated. The present interrogatories, according to him, do not serve either of these purposes and as such leave should not be granted for serving them. Interrogatories as to the truth or untruth of statement of facts made in pleadings are generally admissible:—

“A party is entitled to interrogate his opponent with a view to ascertain what case he has to meet and the facts relied on, and to limit the generality of the pleadings and find out what is really in issue,..... The purpose of interrogatories is not to enable a litigant to come into Court knowing how his opponent's evidence is going to be”.

(The Code of Civil Procedure by Chitaley—7th Edn. at page 2480).

I have glanced through the interrogatories filed by the first respondent in the light of the above principles and I am satisfied that the interrogatories would only minimise the scope of the enquiry and will be helpful for a proper understanding of the charge that the first respondent is called upon to meet in the case. The corrupt practices levelled against the first respondent are:—(1) Rs. 15000/- was paid to the Praja Socialist Party of the Kerala Legislative Assembly by the first respondent or caused to be paid by him to induce them to vote for him; (2) Gratification was paid to other members of the Kerala Legislative Assembly by the first respondent or by others with his consent; (3) Electors from various parts of the State were conveyed to the polling station and back from there in motor cars hired by the first respondent or others with his consent; and (4) At the counting of votes, a number of votes which were invalid were counted as valid; a number of votes which were really cast in favour of the fifth respondent were treated as cast in favour of the first respondent. A petition was filed by the first respondent

on 21st July 1964 under Section 83 of the Representation of the People Act requesting the Court to direct the petitioner to furnish particulars of the corrupt practices alleged against him and the petitioner was accordingly called upon by the Court to furnish particulars and in obedience to that a statement was filed by the petitioner on 30th July 1964 setting forth some of the details in respect of the corrupt practices alleged by him. According to the first respondent, the statement filed by the petitioner is not clear enough and is not strictly in conformity with Section 83 of the Act. Under Section 83(b) the person who alleges corrupt practice has a duty to set forth full particulars of the corrupt practice including as full a statement as possible of the names of the parties, alleged to have committed such corrupt practice and the date and place of the commission of each such practice.

2. Commenting on Section 83, the Patna High Court has observed in *Chandra sekhar Singh v. Sarjoo Prasad Singh* (A.I.R. 1961 Patna 139 at page 194) that:—

‘It is obvious that the expression ‘material facts’ with regard to such allegations must include the names of the persons who committed the fraud as also, the names of the persons who were the subject of the fraud. In other words, it was necessary to ensure a fair and effectual trial of the election petition that the names of the persons who carried the voters in the motor trucks as also the names of the persons who were so carried should be mentioned; so also the names of the persons who gave the bribes as also the names of the persons who accepted the bribes’.

In the present case also it is desirable for a fair and effectual trial of the election petition, that the names of persons who gave the bribes as also the names of the persons who accepted the sums are mentioned and also the names of the persons who carried the voters in motor cars as also the names of the persons who were so carried etc. Such particulars if furnished would, as already stated, only shorten the trial. Leave is therefore granted to the first respondent to serve the interrogatories on the petitioner. The election petition will stand posted to 31st August 1964 to enable the petitioner to furnish his answers, if any.

Taken down at my dictation by the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him, corrected by me and pronounced in Open Court, this the 11th day of August 1964/20th day of Sravana 1886.

Sd/-

Election Tribunal.
(District Judge)

ANNEXURE III

IN THE COURT OF THE ELECTION TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM.

Friday, the 11th day of September 1964/20th day of Bhadra 1886

PRESENT:

Shri K. Sadasivan, B.A., M.L., Election Tribunal

I.A. No. 4 of 1964

in

Election Petition No. 8 of 1964

Saley Mohammed Sait, Member Rajya Sabha, Fasia Mansion, Manthra Road, Cochin.—Petitioner—1st respondent in the Election Petition:

By Adv. Shri V. R. Krishna Iyer.

M. C. Abraham, Member of the Legislative Assembly of Kerala, Advocate, Kottayam.—Respondent—Petitioner in the Election Petition.

By Adv. Shri Kalathil Velayudhan Nair.

Petition under Order XIV, Rule 5 and Section 151, C.P.C. praying the Tribunal to modify the issues.

This petition coming on for hearing today, the Court, passed the following:—

ORDER

Counsel contends on the authority of A.I.R. 1964 Madhya Pradesh page 1 that some of the issues framed are too general and that has prejudiced him in his defence. Issues were framed on the pleadings as supplemented by the subsequent clarification furnished by the petitioner. Excepting issue No. 1, I do not think any other issue could be characterised as "too general". All the other issues necessarily arise from the pleadings and in my opinion they have all to be retained. Issue No. 1 however will be deleted.

Pronounced in Open Court, this the 11th day of September 1964.

S:
Election Tribunal.

ANNEXURE IV

IN THE COURT OF THE ELECTION TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM.

Monday, the 19th day of October 1964/27th day of Asvina 1886.

SENT:

Shri K. Sadasivan, B.A., M.L., Election Tribunal

I.A. No. 6 of 1964

in

Election Petition No. 8 of 1964.

Salay Mohammed Sait, Member, Rajya Sabha, Fasia Mansion, Manthra Road, Cochin.—*Petitioner*—(1st respondent in the Election Petition).

By Adv. Shri V. R. Krishna Iyer

1. M. C. Abraham, Ex-Member of the Legislative Assembly of Kerala, Advocate, Kottayam and four others—*Respondents* (Petitioner and other respondents in the Election Petition).

No. 1 by Advocate Shri Kalathil Velayudhan Nair.

No. 5 by Advocate Shri S. Easwara Iyer.

Petition under Section 151 and Order VI Rule 16 of the Code of Civil Procedure and Sections 83 and 90 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, praying the Court to strike out the allegations of the election petitioner.

This petition coming on for hearing this day, in the presence of the Advocates mentioned above, the Court passed the following:—

ORDER

In this I.A., the first respondent's prayer that the petition may be struck out has been reiterated. The ground for striking out is that the petitioner has not complied with the mandatory provision of Section 83 of the Representation of People Act. Section 83(b) of the Act makes it obligatory on the part of the petitioner to give full particulars of the alleged corrupt practice including as full a statement as possible of the names of the parties alleged to have committed such practice and the date and place of the commission of each such practice. At the instance of the first respondent, the petitioner was called upon to furnish particulars of the corrupt practice and accordingly particulars were furnished by the petitioner, on 30th July 1964. The first respondent was not satisfied with the statement filed on 30th July 1964. To elicit further particulars therefore interrogatories were served on the petitioner by the first respondent and under orders of the Court, the petitioner furnished answers to the interrogatories. After that the petitioner was examined and today four witnesses on the side of the petitioner are present for examination. Before their examination started, the learned Counsel for the first respondent presented the present petition and accordingly arguments were heard on both sides. According to the learned counsel for the first respondent, the particulars of corrupt practice furnished so far have not improved the position and that the allegations are still left vague and the petition

has to be dismissed *in limine*. Some rulings were cited by him in support of his contention, but in none of the cases cited, the petition is seen to have been struck out *in limine* on the ground that full particulars of the corrupt practice had not been furnished. The decisions of course have stressed the point that the requirement of Section 83 of the Representation of People Act has strictly to be complied with. It is the duty of the petitioner to furnish all particulars within his knowledge, of the corrupt practice alleged by him in the petition. In the present case also, the petitioner would urge that all the particulars within his knowledge have been furnished by him. In respect of two of the allegations *viz.* the conveying of a voter, Shri Balakrishna Menon from Wadakkancherry to the polling booth and back from there and the payment of Rs. 15000/- by the first respondent or his agent to the Praja Socialist Party in the Legislative Assembly, it cannot be said that the allegations are vague; so vague as to merit the dismissal *in limine* of the election petition. With respect to the former allegation, the registration number of the taxi car and such other details have been furnished and in respect of the latter, the complaint of the first respondent is that the person to whom the money was paid, the place, time etc. of the payment have not been specified. The recipient of the illegal gratification is the Praja Socialist Party in the Legislative Assembly which, according to the petitioner, is an entity itself. The time and place of payment of course have not been specifically stated since such information is not within the knowledge of the petitioner. Regarding the amount, however the petitioner is definite. In the face of these facts, I am not prepared to say that the petitioner has not disclosed a cause of action in the petition and as such the petition is liable to be dismissed *in limine*. Trial can therefore proceed as regards the said two corrupt practices *viz.* the payment of Rs. 15000/- to the Praja Socialist Party in the Legislative Assembly by the first respondent or caused to be paid by him and (2) the carrying of Shri Balakrishna Menon in a taxi car K.L.A. 300, hired or procured by the first respondent or his agent from Wadakkancherry to the polling booth and back from there. Ordered accordingly.

Taken down at my dictation by the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him, corrected by me and pronounced in Open Court, this the 19th day of October 1964.

Sd./-

Election Tribunal.

[No. 82/8/64.]

A. N. SEN, Under Secy.

New Delhi-1, the 1st April 1965

S.O. 1114.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (I) of section 13A of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 (43 of 1950), the Election Commission, in consultation with the Government of Tripura hereby nominates Shri S. N. Bagchi as the Chief Electoral Officer for the Union Territory of Tripura with effect from the afternoon of the 8th March, 1965 and until further orders *vice* Shri A. K. De.

[No. 154/20/65.]

By Order.

PRAKASH NARAIN, Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 1st April, 1965

S.O. 1115.—In this Ministry's notification No. 17/3/65-Police IV, dated the 16th March, 1965, regarding grant of exemption under Arms Act, 1959, in favour of His Excellency Mr. Tan Siew Sin, Finance Minister of the Federation of Malaysia, for the words "NOT THEREFORE" (occurring in second paragraph) please read the words "NOW THEREFORE."

[No. 17/3/65-Police IV.]

G. L. BAILUR, Under Secy.

CABINET SECRETARIAT

(Department of Statistics)

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1965

S.O. 1116.—In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 8 of the Indian Statistical Institute Act, 1959 (57 of 1959), the Central Government hereby appoints a Committee consisting of—

Chairman

1. Shri K. T. Chandy Director, Indian Institute of Management, Calcutta.

Members

2. Dr. Atma Ram, Director, Central Glass and Ceramic Research Institute, Calcutta.
3. Dr. S. R. Sen Gupta, Director, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur.
4. Shri S. Basu, Joint Secretary, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta
5. Shri D. J. Madan, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.

Member Secretary

6. Dr. K. R. Nair, Director, Central Statistical Organisation and *ex-officio* Joint Secretary, Department of Statistics, New Delhi.

and assigns the following duties to the said Committee, namely:—

- (a) the preparation and submission to the Central Government of statements showing programmes of work agreed to be undertaken by the Institute during the financial year 1965-66 for which the Central Government may provide funds as well as general financial estimates in respect of such work; and

- (b) the settlement on broad lines of the programme of such work.

2. The Department of Statistics will perform the Secretariat functions of the Committee, the headquarters of which will be at New Delhi.

[No. F. 10/12/64-Estt. III.]

M. BALAKRISHNA MENON, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1965

S.O. 1117.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Companies Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-clauses (i) and (ii) of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the said Act shall not apply to the United Bank of India Ltd., Calcutta, till the end of June 1968 in so far as the said provisions prohibit its Managing Director, Shri B. K. Dutt, from being a Director of the Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India Ltd.

[No. F. 4(16)-BC/65.]

B. J. HEERJEE, Under Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 3rd April 1965

S.O. 1118—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India as on the 26th March, 1965.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES		ASSETS	
	Rs.		Rs.
Capital Paid up	5,00,00,000	Notes	40,02,57,000
		Rupee Coin	7,66,000
Reserve Fund	80,00,00,000	Small Coin	3,31,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	86,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
		(a) Internal
		(b) External
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	9,00,00,000	(c) Government Treasury Bills	86,51,43,000
		Balances Held Abroad*	8,25,47,000
		Investments**	138,36,00,000
		Loans and Advances to :—	
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	10,00,00,000	(i) Central Government
		(ii) State Governments@	45,88,92,000
Deposits :—		Loans and Advances to :—	
(a) Government		(i) Scheduled Banks†	153,01,60,000
(i) Central Government	90,91,42,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks††	139,47,18,000
(ii) State Governments	24,71,64,000	(iii) Others	2,10,10,000
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	

		(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(b) Banks		(i) State Governments	28,08,45,000
		(ii) State Co-operative Banks	10,42,98,000
		(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks
(i) Scheduled Banks	95,66,84,000	(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	4,45,53,000
(ii) State Co-operative Banks	3,06,31,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	
(iii) Other Banks	3,42,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	
		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	
(c) Others	155,86,87,000	(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	99,93,000
Bills Payable	63,76,30,000	(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank
Other Liabilities	75,69,42,000	Other Assets	41,65,06,000
Rupees		Rupees	
		699,72,22,000	

*Includes Cash and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 52,97,00,000 advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under Section 17(4) (c) of the R. B. I. Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 31st day of March, 1965.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 26th day of March, 1965

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department.	40,02,57,000		Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in circulation	2606,86,44,000		(a) Held in India	133,75,66,000	
Total Notes issued		2646,89,01,000	(b) Held outside India	
			Foreign Securities	77,46,13,000	
			TOTAL		211,21,79,000
			Rupee Coin		97,75,41,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		2337,91,81,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and		..
			other commercial paper		
TOTAL—LIABILITIES		2646,89,01,000	TOTAL ASSETS		2646,89,01,000

Dated the 31st day of March, 1965.

P. C. BHATTACHARYYA,
Governor.

[No. F.3(2)-BC/65.]

R. K. SESHADRI,
Director (Banking)

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA

(Securities Department)

(Central)

New Delhi, the 20th March 1965

S.O. 1119.—The following list of Government Securities etc. in the custody of the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, as on the 31st December 1964 deposited under paragraph 101 of the Government Securities Manual (3rd Edition) is published for the information of officers concerned. Any discrepancy in the list should be brought to the notice promptly.

DELHI/NEW DELHI

Item No.

Central Board of Irrigation & Power, Curzon Road, New Delhi	12
Chief Commissioner, Delhi	10
Secretary to the Govt. of India, Min. of Home Affairs, New Delhi	39
Chief Engineer, C. P. W. D., New Delhi	21
Hony. Treasurer, A.I. Women's Education Fund Assn., New Delhi	38
District & Sessions Judge, Delhi	25
Deputy Commissioner, Delhi	26
Director, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi	I—3
Director All India Instt of Medical Sciences, New Delhi	40—43
District Judge, Delhi	13—17
Director General, All India Radio, New Delhi	11
Director (Coordination) Director General of Supplies & Disposals, N.I.C. Building, New Delhi	18—20
Director General of Archaeology in India, New Delhi	6
Officer Commanding, 140 Medium Regt (TA) C/o 56 A.P.O.	28
Financial Adviser & Chief Accounts Officer, Northern Railway, New Delhi	22
Land Development Officer, New Delhi	5
Chief Director of Purchases, Ministry of Food & Agriculture, New Delhi	27
Pay & Accounts Officer, Ministry of Food & Agriculture, New Delhi	29—37
Pay & Accounts Officer, Ministry of Works, Housing & Supply New Delhi	8
Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi	4
Vice-President and Additional Secretary, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi	7

GORAKHPUR

Financial Adviser & Chief Accounts Officer, North Eastern Railway, Gorakhpur	23—24
--	-------

MEERUT

Joint Controller of Defence Accounts, Meerut	9
--	---

*Securities held by the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, as on the 31st December, 1964.
Deposited under paragraph 101 of the Government Securities Manual (3rd Edition).*

Sl. No	Administrators	Depositor Account	4 % Loan 1981	4 % Loan 1979	4 % Loan 1972	4 % M.P. Loan 1967	4 % M.P. Loan 1968	3-1/2 % N.P.B. 1965	3-3/4 % N.P.B. 1967	4% 1980
1	Director, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi	
2	Do.	Parlakimedi Trust Fund
3	Do.	Lt. Col. Amir Chand Trust Fund.
4	Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.	40th Cavalry Regiment Scholarship Fund.
5	Land Development Officer, New Delhi.	Annual Rent of Shri Sanatan Dharma Sabha, Lakshmi Narain Temple Trust & Buddhist Temple.
6	Director General of Archaeology in India, New Delhi.	Registrar, University of Calcutta.
7	Vice President & Additional Secretary, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.	Indian Council of Agricultural Research.	36,07,000	8,22,400	9,52,800	1,79,800
8	Pay & Accounts Officer, Ministry of W.H.& S., New Delhi.	M/s. Meckanzie Lyall & Co., Calcutta.
9	Joint Controller of Defence Accounts, Meerut.	Sir Pratap Singh Memorial Fund.

10	Chief Commissioner, Delhi	Itmaduddaula Endowment Trust.
11	Director General, A.I.R., New Delhi.	M/s. Free India (P) Ltd., Madras.
12	Central Board of Irrigation & Power, Curzon Road, New Delhi.		2,00,000
13	District Judge, Delhi	Lachmi	9,700
14	Do.	Mst. Niaderi	20,700
15	Do.	Mst. Khima	8,800
16	Do.	Mst. Sukh Devi	8,100
17	Do.	Ram Bhool Minor	600
18	Director (Coordination) D.G.S. & D., N.I.C. Bldg., New Delhi.	Gujarat State Electricity Board, Baroda.
19	Do.	Municipal Commissioner for Greater Bombay.
20	Do.	Maharashtra Housing Board

*Securities held by the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, as on the 31st December, 1964.
Deposited under paragraph 101 of the Government Securities Manual (3rd edition).*

Sl. No.	Administrators	Depositor Account	3% 1970-75	2-3/4 % 1976	4% Guj. Loan 1969	3% Con. 1946	4% Bombay Loan 1971	4% Madras 1968	4-1/4 % Bombay 1971	Total
1	Director, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi		1,48,200	15,25,400	16,73,600
2	Do.	Parlakimedi Trust Fund	1,61,400	1,61,400
3	Do.	Lt. Col. Amir Chand Trust Fund,	34,800	34,800
4	Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.	40th Cavalry Regiment Scholarship Fund.	16,900	4,300	21,200
5	Land Development Officer, New Delhi.	Annual Rent of Shri Sanatan Dharma Sabha, Lakshmi Narain Temple Trust & Buddhist Temple.	11,300	11,300
6	Director General of Archaeology in India, New Delhi.	Registrar, University of Calcutta.	2,000	2,000
7	Vice-President & Additional Secretary, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.	Indian Council of Agricultural Research,	63,09,400	118,71,400
8	Pay & Accounts Officer, Ministry of W.H. & S., New Delhi	M/s. Mechanzie Lyall & Co., Calcutta.	..	1,600	..	25,000	26,600
9	Joint Controller of Defence Accounts, Meerut.	Sir Pratap Singh Memorial Fund.	1,22,800	1,22,800
10	Chief Commissioner, Delhi	Itmaduddaula Endowment Trust.	1,90,500	1,90,500

11	Director General, A.I.R., New Delhi.	M/s. Free India (P) Ltd., Madras	5,000	5,000
12	Central Board of Irrigation & Power, Curzon Road, New Delhi.		2,00,000
13	District Judge, Delhi	Lachmi	9,700
14	Do.	Mst. Niaderi	20,700
15	Do.	Mst. Khima	8,800
16	Do.	Mst. Sukh Devi	8,100
17	Do.	Ram Bhool Minor	600
18	Director (Coordination), D.G.S. & D., N.I.C. Bldg., New Delhi.	Gujarat State Electricity Board, Baroda.	2,00,000	2,00,000
19	Do.	Municipal Commissioner for Greater Bombay.	3,00,000	3,00,000
20	Do.	Maharashtra Housing Board	2,00,000	2,00,000

Sl. No.	Administrator	Depositor	3-1/2% Bonds 1966	3-1/2% Bonds 1969	4% T.S. D.C.	3-1/2% N.P.B. 1965	4-1/4% N.D. Bonds 1972	4% 1972	3% 1970-75
21	Chief Engineer, C.P.W.D., New Delhi.	A/c. Contractor	51,000	50,100	99,000
22	Financial Adviser & Chief Accounts Officer, Northern Rly., New Delhi	Account : Contractor	6,000	2,800	16,500	42,000	..	200	54,200
23	F.A. & C.A.O., North Eastern Rly., Gorakhpur.	Contractor	5,800	..	38,800
24	Do.	Govt. Servants
25	Distt. & Sessions Judge, Delhi	Sis Ganj, Gurdwara
26	Deputy Commissioner, Delhi.	Mirza Latafat Hussain, Tehsil Bailiff.
27	Chief Director of Purchase, M/o Food & Agriculture, N. Delhi.	Contractors
28	Officer Commanding, 140 Medium Regt. (TA)/CO 56 A.P.O.
29	Pay & Accounts Officer, M/o Food & Agriculture, New Delhi.	M/s. Malwa Vanaspati & Chemical Co. Ltd., Indore.
30	Do.	Wallace Flour Mills Co. Ltd., Bombay.	1,01,400
31	Do.	Ram Lal Harbans Lal, Jullundur	25,000
32	Do.	M/s. Indian Vegetable Products Ltd., Bombay.	..	20,000
33	Do.	Salig Ram Nathani, Raipur
34	Do.	Nanmal Uttam Chand
35	Do.	D. & P. Products Ltd., Bombay	5,000

36	Do.	Amrit Vansapati & Co. Ltd.
37	Do.	M/s. Delhi Cloth & General Mills Co. Ltd., Delhi.
38	Hony. Treasurer, All India Women's Education Fund Association, New Delhi.	All India Women's Education Fund Association.
39	Secretary to the Government of India.	Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.
40	Director, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.	Contributory Provident Fund money
41	Do.	Lt. Col. Amir Chand Donation Fund
42	Do.	Chechamma Memorial Trust Fund
43	Do.	Scholarship Fund, Anonymous Donor.

Sl. No.	Administrator	Depositor	3-3/4% 1974	3% Con. 1946	3% 1896-97	4% M.P. 1967	4% H.P. 1968	4-1/4% Behar 1972	4% Loan 1969
21	Chief Engineer, C.P.W.D., New Delhi.	A/c. Contractor	21,000	1,30,900
22	Financial Adviser & Chief Accounts Officer, Northern Rly., New Delhi.	Account: Contractor	2,53,400	1,55,300
23	F.A. & C.A.O., North Eastern Rly., Gorakhpur.	Contractor	60,200	2,000	30,000	..
24	Do.	Govt. Servants	1,700
25	Distt. & Sessions Judge, Delhi.	Sis Ganj, Gurdwara	33,300
26	Deputy Commissioner, Delhi.	Mirza Latafat Hussain, Tehsil Bailiff.	100
27	Chief Director of Purchase, M/o Food & Agriculture, N. Delhi.	Contractors
28	Officer Commanding, 140 Medium Regt. (TA)/CO 56 A.P.O.
29	Pay & Accounts Officer, M/o Food & Agriculture, New Delhi.	M/s. Malwa Vanaspati & Chemical Co. Ltd., Indore.
30	Do.	Wallace Flour Mills Co. Ltd., Bom- bay.
31	Do.	Ram Lal Harbans Lal, Jullundur
32	Do.	M/s. Indian Vegetable Products Ltd., Bombay.
33	Do.	Salig Ram Nathani, Raipur	6,000	5,000
34	Do.	Nanmal Uttam Chand	25,000
35	Do.	D. & P. Products Ltd., Bombay

36	Do.	Amrit Vansapati & Co., Ltd.
37	Do.	M/s. Delhi Cloth & General Mills Co. Ltd., Delhi.	..	30,000
38	Hony. Treasurer, All India Women's Education Fund Association, New Delhi.	All India Women's Education Fund Association.
39	Secretary to the Government of India.	Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.
40	Director, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.	Contributory Provident Fund money
41	Do.	Lt. Col. Amir Chand Donation Fund.
42	Do.	Chechamma Memorial Trust Fund.
43	Do.	Scholarship Fund, Anonymous Donor.

Sl. No.	Administrator	Depositor	4% U.P. 1971	4-1/4% U.P. 1970	3-1/4% U.P. E.E. Act Bonds	4% U.P. 1967	4-1/2% Kerala 1974	3% Con. 1946
21	Chief Engineer, C.P.W.D., New Delhi	A/c. Contractor
22	Financial Adviser & Chief Accounts Officer, Account: Contractor. Northern Rly., New Delhi.
23	F.A. & C.A.O., North Eastern Rly., Gorakhpur.	Contractor . . .	6,000
24	Do.	Govt. Servants	2,000
25	Distt. & Sessions Judge, Delhi	Sis Ganj, Gurdwara
26	Deputy Commissioner, Delhi	Mirza Latafat Hussain, Tehsil Bailiff.
27	Chief Director of Purchase, M/o Food & Agriculture, N. Delhi.	Contractors . . .	500	10,000	4,500	..
28	Officer Commanding, 140 Medium Regt. (TA)/CO 56 A.P.O.
29	Pay & Accounts Officer, M/o Food & Agri- culture, New Delhi.	M/s. Malwa Vanaspati & Chemical Co. Ltd., Indore.
30	Do.	Wallace Flour Mills Co. Ltd., Bombay.
31	Do.	Ram Lal Harbans Lal, Jullundur
32	Do.	M/s. Indian Vegetable Products Ltd., Bombay.
33	Do.	Salig Ram Nathani, Raipur
34	Do.	Nanmal Uttam Chand
35	Do.	D. & P. Products Ltd., Bombay

36	Do.	Amrit Vanaspati & Co. Ltd.	5,300
37	Do.	M/s. Delhi Cloth & General Mills Co. Ltd., Delhi.
38	Hony. Treasurer, All India Women's Education Fund Association, New Delhi	All India Women's Education Fund Association.	2,32,900
39	Secretary to the Government of India.	Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.	6,17,700
40	Director, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.	Contributory Provident Fund money	7,84,100
41	Do.	Lt. Col. Amir Chand Donation Fund.	26,900
42	Do.	Chechamma Memorial Trust Fund	1,99,600
43	Do.	Scholarship Fund Anonymous Donor.	6,600

Sl. No.	Administrator	Depositor	4% Bombay 1971	4% Loan 1973	4% Kerala 1969	4% 1980	4% 1970	Total
21	Chief Engineer, C.P.W.D., New Delhi.	A/c. Contractor	5,000	56,500	4,13,500
22	Financial Adviser & Chief Accounts Officer, Northern Rly., New Delhi.	Accounts: Contractor . .	2,64,700	62,700	15,100	8,72,900
23	F.A. & C.A.O., North Eastern Rly., Gorakhpur.	Contractor	1,42,800
24	Do.	Govt. Servants	3,700
25	Distt. & Sessions Judge, Delhi	Sis Ganj, Gurdwara	33,300
26	Deputy Commissioner, Delhi.	Mirza Latafat Hussain, Tehsil Bailiff.	100
27	Chief Director of Purchase, M/o Food & Agriculture, N. Delhi.	Contractors	1,000	16,000
28	Officer Commanding, 140 Medium Regt. (TA)/CO 56 A.P.O.	500	500
29	Pay & Accounts Officer, M/o Food & Agriculture, New Delhi.	M/s. Malwa Vanaspati & Chemical Co. Ltd., Indore.	..	21,000	21,000
30	Do.	Wallace Flour Mills Co. Ltd., Bombay.	1,01,400
31	Do.	Ram Lal Harbans Lal, Jullundur	25,000
32	Do.	M/s. Indian Vegetable Products Ltd., Bombay.	20,000
33	Do.	Salig Ram Nathani, Raipur	11,000
34	Do.	Nanmal Uttam Chand	25,000
	Do.	D. & P. Products Ltd., Bombay	5,000

36	Do.	Amrit Vansapati & Co. Ltd.	5,300
37	Do.	M/s. Delhi Cloth & General Mills Co. Ltd., Delhi.	30,000
38	Hony. Treasurer, All India Women's Education Fund Association, New Delhi.	All India Women's Education Fund Association.	2,32,900
39	Secretary to the Government of India.	Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.	72,71,000	..	78,88,700
40	Director, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.	Contributory Provident Fund money	7,84,100
41	Do.	Lt. Col. Amir Chand Donation Fund.	26,900
42	Do.	Chechamma Memorial Trust Fund	1,99,600
43	Do.	Scholarship Fund, Anonymous Donor.	6,600

[No. Sec. 5575/Admn. 9/65.]
Sd. Illegible
Manager.

S.O. 1120.—The following list of Government Securities etc., in the custody of the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, as on the 31st December, 1964, deposited under paragraph 108 of the Government Securities Manual (3rd Edition) is published for the information of officers concerned. Any discrepancy in the list should be brought to the notice promptly.

Index to list of Government Securities, etc. deposited under paragraph 108 of the Government Securities Manual (3rd Edition).

Solan :

The Secretary, Municipal Committee, Solan 17

Delhi/New Delhi :

Chief Administrative Officer, Government of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi	5
The Chief Chemist, Central Revenues, Control Laboratory, Government of India Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi	9
Chief Ordnance Officer, Ordnance Depot, Shakurbasti, Delhi	12
Commandant, Vehicles Depot, Delhi Cantt.	15
Commandant, Central Ordnance Depot, Delhi Cantt.	11
Commanding Officer, Air Force Station, New Delhi	14
Commanding Officer, Air Force Station, Palam	20
The Controller, Central Radio Stores Depot, Civil Aviation Deptt., New Delhi	16
Currency Officer, Reserve Bank of India, Issue Department, New Delhi	6
Dy. Commissioner and Chairman, District Soldiers', Seamen's and Airmen's Board, New Delhi	3
Director (Coordination) Director General of Supplies and Disposals, New Delhi	7
Registrar, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi	19
Executive Engineer, C-Division, C.P.W.D., New Delhi	2
General Manager, Government of India Press, New Delhi	10
Housing Commissioner, Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply, New Delhi	28
Pay and Accounts Officer, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, New Delhi	13
President of India c/o Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi	4
Regional Director (Food), Government of India, Ministry of Food & Agriculture, Northern Region, New Pusa, New Delhi	18
Controller of Printing & Stationery, New Delhi	
Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport & Communication (Communication Department), New Delhi	26
Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport & Communication (Department of Transport), New Delhi	2
Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of External Affairs, New Delhi	31
Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply, New Delhi	29
Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Mines and Fuel, Ministry of Steel, Mines & Fuels, New Delhi	30
Administrative Officer, Government of India, Delhi Polytechnic, Delhi	21
Administrative Officer, Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Army Purchase Organisation (Department of Food), New Delhi	22

Chief Director of Purchase, Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Army Purchase Organisation (Department of Food), New Delhi.	23
Maintenance Engineer, All India Radio, New Delhi.	24
Chief Engineer, C.P.W.D., New Delhi	25
Deputy Commissioner, Delhi	32
Director of Advertising and Visual Publicity, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi	33
Director, Malaria Institute of India, Delhi	8
The Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce, New Delhi	34

List of Government Securities in the Custody of the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, as on the 31st December, 1964, deposited under paragraph 108 of the Government Securities Manual (3rd Edition).

Serial No.	Name of person or fund on whose behalf held	4-1/2% Loan 1986	4% Loan 1981	P.O. 5-Year Cash Cer- tificate	3-1/2% N.P. Loan 1964	3% Loan 1964	3-1/2 % N.P. Bonds 1965	3-1/2% N.P. Bonds 1967	3 % Loan 1963-65
1	The Controller of Printing and Stationery, New Delhi.
2	The Executive Engineer 'C' Division, C.P.W.D., New Delhi
3	Deputy Commissioner and Chairman, District Soldiers' Seamen's & Airmen's Board, New Delhi
4	President of India, C/o Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi
5	Chief Administrative Officer, Government of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi
6	Currency Officer, Reserve Bank of India, Issue Department, New Delhi	18,410	..	100
7	Director (Coordination), Director General of Supplies and Disposals, New Delhi	3,000	29,000	2,000	3,000
8	Director, Malaria Institute of India, Delhi
9	The Chief Chemist, Central Revenues, Control Laboratory, Government of India, Agriculture Research Institute, New Delhi
10	The General Manager, Government of India Press, New Delhi
11	Commandant, Central Ordnance Depot, Delhi Cantt.
12	Chief Ordnance Officer, Ordnance Depot, Shakurbasti, Delhi
13	Pay and Accounts Officer, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, New Delhi	80,000
14	Commanding Officer, Air Force Station, New Delhi
15	Commandant, Vehicles Depot, Delhi Cantt.
16	The Controller, Central Radio Stores Depot, Civil Aviation Department, New Delhi
17	The Secretary, Municipal Committee, Solan
18	Regional Director (Food), Government of India, Ministry of Food & Agriculture, Northern Region, New Pusa, New Delhi
19	Registrar, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi

20	Commanding Officer, Air Force Station, Palam.
21	Administrative Officer, Government of India, Delhi Polytechnic, Delhi
22	Administrative Officer, Government of India, Ministry of Food & Agriculture, Army Purchase Organisation, (Department of Food), New Delhi
23	Chief Director of Purchase, Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Army Purchase Organisation, (Department of Food), New Delhi
24	Maintenance Engineer, A.I.R., New Delhi
25	Chief Engineer, C.P.W.D., New Delhi
26	Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport & Communication (Communication Department), New Delhi
27	Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport and Communication, (Department of Transport), New Delhi
28	Housing Commissioner, Ministry of Works, Housing & Supply, New Delhi
29	Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Works, Housing & Supply, New Delhi
30	Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Mines and Fuel, Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuels New Delhi
31	Under Secretary, U.N. to the Government of India, Ministry of External Affairs, New Delhi
32	Deputy Commissioner, Delhi
33	Director of Advertising and Visual Publicity, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi
34	The Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce, New Delhi

Shares of Indian Telephone Industries Ltd., 359 scrip of 3,58,545 shares.

53 scrips of 57,445 shares of the Hindustan Shipyard Ltd.

Shares of Ashoka Hotels Ltd., 5 scrips of 48,581 shares and 2 scrips of 33,995.

6 scrips of 9,988 shares of Hindustan Housing Factory Ltd. for Rs. 998800.00.

8 scrips of 1,40,000 shares of Oil India Private Ltd., and 47 scrips of 4,96,522 shares of National Coal Development Corporation Ltd.
5 scrips of 16,00,000 shares Singureni Co. Ltd., and 1 scrip of 1,25,000 shares of Debenture Stock Certificate of Oil India Ltd.

One United Nation Bond for \$20,00,000.

List of Government Securities in the Custody of the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, as on the 31st December 1964 deposited under paragraph 108 of the Government Securities Manual (3rd Edition).

Serial No.	Name of person or fund on whose behalf held	4 % Loan 1980	3 % 1970-75	2-3/4 % Loan 1976	3% Conversion Loan 1946	3-1/2% T.S.D. Certificat- es	7-Years N.S. Certificat- es	4% T.S.D. Certificat- es	4% Maharashtra 1969
1	The Controller of Printing and Stationery, New Delhi.
2	The Executive Engineer, 'C' Division, C.P.W.D., New Delhi
3	Deputy Commissioner and Chairman, District Soldiers' Seamen's and Airmen's Board, New Delhi	20,200
4	President of India C/o Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi	..	2,00,000
5	Chief Administrative Officer, Government of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi
6	Currency Officer, Reserve Bank of India, Issue Department, New Delhi
7	Director (Coordination), Director General of Supplies and Disposals, New Delhi	8,500	13,000	23,000	1,95,700	10,000	2,000
8	Director, Malaria Institute of India, Delhi
9	The Chief Chemist, Central Revenues, Control Laboratory, Government of India, Agriculture Research Institute, New Delhi
10	The General Manager, Government of India Press, New Delhi
11	Commandant, Central Ordnance Depot, Delhi Cantt.
12	Chief Ordnance Officer, Ordnance Depot, Shakurbasti, Delhi
13	Pay and Accounts Officer, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, New Delhi	5,500	..	5,000
14	Commanding Officer, Air Force Station, New Delhi
15	Commandant, Vehicles Depot, Delhi Cantt.
16	The Controller, Central Radio Stores Depot, Civil Aviation Department, New Delhi
17	The Secretary, Municipal Committee, Solan
18	Regional Director (Food), Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Northern Region, New Pusa, New Delhi
19	Registrar, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi

20	Commanding Officer, Air Force Station, Palam
21	Administrative Officer, Government of India, Delhi Polytechnic, Delhi
22	Administrative Officer, Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Army Purchase Organisation (Department of Food), New Delhi	8,200
23	Chief Director of Purchase, Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Army Purchase Organisation (Department of Food), New Delhi	22,400	..	4,400
24	Maintenance Engineer, A.I.R., New Delhi
25	Chief Engineer, C.P.W.D., New Delhi
26	Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport and Communication (Communication Department), New Delhi	Shares of Hindustan Teleprinters Ltd., 11 scrips of 74,998 shares.						
27	Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport and Communication (Department of Transport), New Delhi
28	Housing Commissioner, Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply, New Delhi	Ordinary shares of Rs. 100 each of Hindustan Housing Factory Ltd., 9 scrips of 9,889 shares of National Building Construction Corporation Ltd.						
29	Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply, New Delhi
30	Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Mines and Fuels, Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuels, New Delhi	8 scrips of 1,40,000 shares of Oil India Private Ltd., and 47 scrips of 4,96,522 shares of National Coal Development Corporation Ltd., 5 scrips of 16,00,000 shares of Singureni Co. Ltd., and 1 scrip of 1,25,000 shares of Debenture Stock Certificate of Oil India Ltd.						
31	Under Secretary, (UN) to the Government of India, Ministry of External Affairs, New Delhi
32	Deputy Commissioner, Delhi
33	Director of Advertising and Visual Publicity, Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, New Delhi
34	The Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce, New Delhi

Serial No.	P.O. 10 Years N.P. Certificates	P.O. 12 Years N.S. Certificates	P.O. 12 Years N.P. Certificates	P.O. 12 Years N.P. Defence Certificates	4-1/2% Raj 1974	4% Madras 1967	3-3/4 % N.P. Bonds 1968	4% U.P. 1963	3% U.P. 1961-66	2-3/4% Loan 1962	4% Bombay M.C. Deb. 1902-62	4% Bombay M.C. Deb. 1904-64
1	10,000
2	..	3,175
3
4
5	3,300
6
7	580	69,775	3,00,700	1,76,760	..	2,000	25,000	..	7,000	31,000	1,000	5,000
8	1,000
9	500	500	1,000
10	..	300	5,000
11	..	3,100	7,650	19,200
12	..	18,500	10,750	9,800
13	..	91,300	1,68,500	55,000
14	1,200	15,860	12,985	2,200
15	..	6,800	9,150	1,750
16	500
17	..	75,000
18	11,600	44,400
19	..	940	1,300
20	1,000	280	6,220	1,050
21	..	600	400
22	67,750	1,14,550
23	..	10,000	3,750	30,000	600
24	1,000
25	..	4,49,000	2,54,600	1,17,500
32	8,000
33	2,85,800
34	3,000

List of Government Securities in the Custody of Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, on the 31st December, 1964 deposited in terms of Rule 12(6)(b)(ii) of Public Debt Rules, 1946.

Administrator	Depositor	4-1/4% Raj. S.D. Loan 1970	3-3/4% Loan 1974	3% Conversion Loan 1946	3-1/2% Ten yrs. T.S.D.C.	4% U.P. 1968	Total
Public Debt Office, Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi	1. K. Commercial Co. Private Ltd.	1,000	1,000
	2. Association for the Higher Education of Indian Wo- men in U.P., Allahabad	500	500
	3. Shri Shyam Sunder Lal Dar	50,000	..	50,000
	4. Satish Kumar Mukerji	1,500	1,500
	5. Ajmer Central Cooperative Bank Ltd.	..	300	300
TOTAL							53,300

List of Government Securities in the Custody of Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi on the 31st December, 1964 deposited in terms of Rule 12(4)(b)(ii)(i) of the Public Debt Office Rules, 1946.

Administrators	3% Conversion Loan	3% Loan 1970-75	3-1/2% N.P. Bonds 1965	2-1/2% Loan 1961	4% U.P. Loan 1967	3-1/2% N.P. Bonds 1967	3-1/4% Bonds, 1962	3-1/2% N.P. Bonds 1961	4% U.P. 1968	Total
Public Debt Office, Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi.	200	100	5,100	500	1,000	5,200	100	4,900	200	17,300

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA,
SECURITIES DEPARTMENT,
NEW DELHI.

[No. Sec. 5575/Admn. 9/65]
Sd. Illegible
Manager

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

ESTATE DUTY

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1965

S.O. 1121.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2A) of Section 4 of the Estate Duty Act, 1953 (34 of 1953) read with sub-section (2) of Section 8 of the Central Boards of Revenue Act, 1963 (54 of 1963) and in partial modification of its notification No. 45/F. No. 1/20/64-ED dated the 25th June, 1964, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that for the words "Commissioner of Income-tax, Uttar Pradesh" occurring in clause (i) of the said notification, the following words and figures shall be substituted, namely,—

Commissioner of Income-tax, Uttar Pradesh-I.

Commissioner of Income-tax, Uttar Pradesh-II."

[No. 4/F. No. 21/1/65-ED.]

G. R. HEGDE, Secy.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE, BANGALORE

CORRIGENDA

CENTRAL EXCISES

*Corrigendum to Notification No. 2/64, dated 21st April, 1964.**Bombay, the 20th March, 1965.*

S.O. 1122.—In lines 9 and 10 of the above Notification, for the word "acres" please substitute the word "ares".

[No. V(a)24/63/B.2.]

Corrigendum to Notification No. 2/64, dated the 21st April, 1964.

S.O. 1123.—In the schedule to the Notification No. 2/64, dated 21-4-64, published under Registered No. D. 222 in Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated 16-1-1965 the following amendment is ordered with immediate effect.

In Bijapur District at page 5 of the Notification No. 2/64 *substitute* the following against the entries in Cols. 2, 3 and 4.

Area Delimited	Exception	Officer to whom declarations are to be rendered in case the prescribed limits are exceeded.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Bijapur . . .	Entire Bijapur taluk	Range Officer, Central Excise, Bijapur.
	Bagewadi Taluka . . .	Nalatwad	Do.
	Muddebihal Taluk	Do.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Bagalkot Taluka.	Bevoor Village	}	Range Officer, Central Excise, Bagalkot.
Badami Taluka .	.		
Beelgi Taluka .	.		
Jamkhandi Taluka	Terdal Tamdaddi Halingli	}	Range Officer, Central Excise, Jamkhandi.
Mudhol Taluka	Sorgaon Mudhol Zunzurkop.		
Sindigi Taluka .	Sindigi Tirka in Sindigi taluka Almal Gindgi Asangihal Uchit Nava-dgi Manglul, Moratgi Bhantrur.		
Indi Taluka .	Tamba, Chadchar Khedgi Ahirsang Balloli.	}	Range Officer, Central Excise, Sindigi.

[No. 2164.]

V. PARTHASARATHY, Collector.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS & CENTRAL EXCISE FOR ASSAM, MANIPUR, NAGALAND & TRIPURA

Shillong, the 5th March 1965

S.O. 1124.—In pursuance of rules 199 and 200 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower the Central Excise Officers specified in col. 1 of the subjoined table to exercise the powers conferred by provisions of the rules enumerated in col. 2 subject to the limitations set out in col. 3 thereof.

TABLE

Rank of Officer (1)	Rules (2)	Limitations (3)
1. An officer not below the rank of Sub-Inspector	199	..
2. An officer not below the rank of Sub-Inspector	200	The power of Sub-Inspector is restricted to unmanufactured products only.

2. This Notification supersedes this Collectorate earlier Notification No. 3/CE/57, dated 22-5-57.

[No. 1/CE/65.]

Shillong the 18th March 1965

S.O. 1125.—In exercise of the powers vested in me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby, empower the Central Excise Officers mentioned in col. II of the sub-joined table.

to exercise within their respective jurisdictions the power of the 'Collector' under the Rule mentioned in col. I of the said table subject to the limitations, if any, laid down in col. III thereof.

Central Excise Rule	Rank of the Officer	Limitations
(I)	(II)	(III)
The First proviso to Rule 145	Superintendent.	He shall exercise only the powers under clause (a) of the said proviso.
Do.	Asstt. Collector	..

2. This Collectorate Notification No. I/C. Ex./57 dated 6-5-1957 is hereby cancelled.

[No. 2/CE/65.]

G. S. SAWHNEY, Collector.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

New Delhi, the 3rd April 1965

S.O. 1126.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 5 of the Trade and Merchandise Marks Act, 1958 (43 of 1958), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India, in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. S.O. 2601 dated the 25th November, 1959, namely:—

In the said Notification for the words "The States of Maharashtra, Gujarat and Madhya Pradesh" the words "The States of Maharashtra, Gujarat and Madhya Pradesh and the Union territory of Goa, Daman and Diu" shall be substituted.

[No. 7(1)-Com. (Genl) (TM)/63.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 3rd April 1965

S.O. 1127.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce No. S.O. 3531 dated the 3rd October, 1964, published in the Gazette of India Extra-Ordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 3rd October, 1964, in paragraph 1, in line 3, for "groundnut oil" read "groundnut and groundnut oil".

[No. 32(36)/Com.(Genl.) (SMC)/64.]

M. L. GUPTA, Under Secy.

RUBBER CONTROL

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 1965

S.O. 1128.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (3) of section 4 of the Rubber Act, 1947 (24 of 1947), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri M. M. Muthiah, Managing Director, New Ambadi Estates (P) Ltd., Nagercoil (Madras State) and Shri Baldev Singh, Industrial Liaison and Extension Officer, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, as members of the Rubber Board for a period of three years with effect from the 3rd April, 1965, to represent interests other than manufacturers and labour.

[No. F. 15(3) Plant(B)/64.]

B. KRISHNAMURTHY, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF STEEL AND MINES

(Department of Mines & Metals)

New Delhi, the 26th March 1965

S.O. 1129.—Whereas by the Notification of the Government of India, in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel S.O. No. 1132 dated 9th April, 1963, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in the lands measuring 12500.00 acres or 5062.50 hectares in the locality specified in the Schedule appended to that Notification and reproduced in the Schedule appended hereto;

And whereas in respect of the said lands no notice under sub-section (1) of section 7 has been given;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by said sub-section (1) of section 7, the Central Government hereby specifies a further period of one year commencing from the 9th April, 1965 as the period within which the Central Government may give notice of its intention to acquire the said lands or of any rights in or over such lands.

SCHEDULE

Drg. No. Rev/30/62

dated 27-4-1962

PRENCH KANHAN VALLEY COALFIELD

Block I

Serial No.	Village	Tahsil	District	Area	Remarks
1	Chikatbarri	Chhindwara	Chhindwara		Full
2	Piparia	"	"		"
3	Nandna	"	"		"
4	Bhakra	"	"		"
5	Ghorowarikalan	"	"		Part
6	Sagonia	"	"		"
7	Kotideo Purena	"	"		"
8	Moli	"	"		"
9	Bhardi, Bhakra	"	"		"
10	Kangta, Korilaton	"	"		"
11	Bijapathar, Chandania	"	"		"
12	Dobka, Adakha	"	"		"
13	Sendramou, Kangro, Jamundhana, Koriya	"	"		"
14	Chondania, Koilnari	"	"		"
15	Khuraimau alias Gurre	"	"		"
16	Khumenpani, Bhalwadhana, Bhatipari, Khudradhana				
	Dhau	"	"		"
17	Ghorowari Khurd	"	"		"

Total : 12,500.00 acres (approximately)
or 5062.50 hectares (approximately).

Boundary Description:

A—B line passes through Khuraimau alias Gurre, Khumenpani-Bhalwadhana-Bhatipari-Khudradhana-Dhau, Bijapathar-Chandania, Kangta-Korilaton, Bhardi-Bhakra and Moli and meeting at point 'B'.

B—C line passes through villages:—Moli, Sagonia and Kotideopurens and meeting at point 'C'.

C—D—E—F—G—H lines pass through villages:—Kotideopurena Chorowari Khurd, Ghorowarikalan, along the Southern boundary of village Bhakra, Northern boundary and part Eastern boundary of village Kalichhapar, along part northern and part western boundary of

village Rakhikole-Makandhana-Kothera and through Village Dobka-Adakha and meeting at point 'H'.

H—A line passes through villages.—Dobka-Adakha, Sendramou-Kangro-Jamundhana-Koriya, Chondania-Kollnari, and Khuralmau alias Gurre and meeting at point 'A'.

[No. C2-20(31)/62.]

New Delhi, the 30th March 1965

S.O. 1130.—Whereas in pursuance of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel, Mines and Fuel (Department of Mines and Fuel) No. S.O. 73 dated the 29th December, 1958, under section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government has acquired lands measuring 131.85 acres in village Sael in the District of Hazaribagh;

And whereas M/s. Karanpura Development Company Ltd., Chartered Bank Buildings, Calcutta-1, the person interested have under section 13 of the said Act, preferred their claim for compensation before the Competent Authority;

And whereas the compensation offered by the competent authority has been accepted by the said company only under protest and there is a dispute as to the sufficiency of the amount of compensation offered;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (2) of section 14 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby constitutes a Tribunal consisting of Shri R. P. Sinha, Additional Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable to the person interested.

[No. C2-20(10)/65.]

S.O. 1131.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Mines and Fuel S.O. No. 1351 dated the 7th May, 1963 under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in lands measuring 448.00 acres (approximately) or 181.44 Hectares (approximately) in the locality specified in the Schedule appended to that notification and reproduced in the Schedule appended hereto;

And whereas in respect of the said lands no notice under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act has been given;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the said sub-section (1) of section 7, the Central Government hereby specifies a further period of one year commencing from the 7th May, 1965 as the period within which the Central Government may give notice of its intention to acquire the whole or any part of the said lands or of any rights in or over such lands.

SCHEDULE

(KATIA BLOCK—SOUTH KARANPURA COALFIELD)

Drg. No. Rev/94/62
dated 23-12-1962
(showing area notified for
prospecting)

Sl. No.	Village	Thana	Thana No.	District	Area	Remarks
1	Sankul	Ramgarh	21	Hazaribagh		Part
2	Patratu	"	22	"		"
3	Katia	"	16	"		"
4	Sahitanr	"	20	"		"

Total : 448.00 Acres (Approximately)
Or 181.44 Hectares (Approximately)

Boundary Description:

- A—B line passes through villages Sahitanr and Katla and meeting at point B.
- B—C line passes through village Katia (goes up to Central line of the nalkari nalla) and meeting at point C.
- C—D line passes along part of the Central line of nalkari nalla and meeting at point D.
- D—E line passes through villages Patratu, Sankul and Sahitanr and meeting at point A.

[No. C2-20(12)/63.]

ERRATUM

New Delhi, the 30th March 1965

S.O. 1132.—In the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Steel and Mines (Department of Mines and Metals) S.O. No. 4254 dated the 11th December, 1964, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated the 18th December, 1964.

1. at page—4737,
 - (i) In line 24, for "Dated the 17th Fune, 1964" read "Dated the 17th June, 1964"
 - (ii) In line 26, for "All ri" read "All Rights"
2. at page—4738,
 - (i) In line 10, for "Chapr" read "Chapri".
 - (ii) In line 11, for "Total: 198.00 (Approximately)" read "Total: 198.00 acres (Approximately)"
 - (iii) In line 30, for "F-G-H-I-J-K line passes....." read "F-G-H-I-J-K lines pass....."
 - (iv) In line 36, for "L-M-N line passes....." read "L-M-N lines pass....."
 - (v) In line 40, for "626 village Chapri" read "626 in village Chapri".

[No. C2-20(10)/64.]

K. SUBRAHMANYAN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FOOD & AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agriculture)

CORRIGENDA

New Delhi, the 30th March 1965

S.O. 1133.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture) No. S.O. 3187 dated the 28th of August, 1964, published on pages 3464-65 of the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3(ii) dated the 5th September, 1964.

at page 3465, in line 8, for "BRNS" read "MMNS".

[No. F. 15-2/65-AM.]

S.O. 1134.—In Part II, Section 3(ii) of the Gazette of India, dated the 16th January, 1965, at pages 272 to 279, omit the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture) No. S.O. 234 dated the 4th January, 1965 publishing the Groundnut Grading and Marking Rules, 1965.

[No. F. 15-11/65-AM.]

SANTOKH SINGH, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH

New Delhi, the 30th March 1965

S.O. 1135.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the following persons have been elected by the Universities indicated against each to be members of the Medical Council of India with effect from the dates shown against each:—

- | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|
| (i) Dr. B. S. Jirge, 'Sangam' Angol-Mal, Tilakwadi, Belgaum | Karnatak University | 27-2-1965 |
| (ii) Dr. C. N. Chandrachud, M.B., M. R. C. P. E., F. R. C. S. G., F.C.P.S., 784, Shivajinagar, Poona-4 | University of Poona | 26-2-1965 |

2. Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. F. 5-13/59-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely:—

In the *said* notification, under the heading "Elected under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3":—

- (1) for the entry against serial No. 4, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. B. S. Jirge, 'Sangam' Angol-Mal, Tilakwadi, Belgaum Karnatak University"

- (ii) for the entry against serial No. 18, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Dr. C. N. Chandrachud, M.B. M.R.C.P.E., F.R.C.S.G., F.C.P.S., 784, Shivajinagar, Poona-4 University of Poona"

[No. F. 4-28/64-MPT.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 30th March, 1965

S.O. 1136.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. 16-12/60-MI, dated the 9th January, 1961, made, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification M. D. (Western Reserve University, Cleveland) for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) the Central Government hereby specifies the period of two years with effect from the date of this order or so long as Dr. James R. Donaldson who possesses the said qualification, continues to work with the Miraj Medical Centre, P.O. Miraj M.H. Sangli District (Maharashtra) to which he is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. James R. Donaldson, shall be limited.

[No. F. 32-73/64-MPT.]

S.O. 1137.—Whereas the Government of India in the Ministry of Health has, by notification No. 16-44/61-MI, dated the 23rd July, 1962 made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), recognised the medical qualification "ARTSEXAMEN" (i.e. Diploma Medical Faculty) University of Amsterdam for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956)

The Central Government hereby specifies a further period of two years with effect from the 23rd July, 1964 or so long as Dr. (Miss) H. Kreuger who possesses the said qualification, continues to work in the Church of Scotland Mission Hospital, Jalna, Distt. Aurangabad to which she is attached for the time being for the purposes of teaching, research or charitable work, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice of the said Dr. (Miss) H. Kreuger shall be limited.

[No. F. 18-10/65-MPT.]

B. B. L. BHARADWAJ, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 31st March 1965

S.O. 1138.—Whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 (37 of 1954) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government hereby renominates Dr. A. R. Sundararajan, Professor of Biochemistry, All-India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health, Calcutta, and Dr. Y. K. Subrahmanyam, Assistant Director General of Health Services, as members of the Central Committee for Food Standards for further terms of three years from the 22nd October, 1964;

And, whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (2) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby nominates Dr. Banwari Lal, Director (Health), Ministry of Railways (Railway Board), New Delhi, being a representative of the Ministry of Railways, as a member of the Central Committee for Food Standards in the vacancy caused by the expiry of the term of office of Dr. L. N. Suri, for a term of three years;

And, whereas in pursuance of the powers conferred by clause (e) of sub-section (2) of section 3 of the said Act, the Government of Maharashtra has nominated Dr. S. R. Kulkarni, Assistant Director of Public Health, Incharge, Vaccine Institute and Public Health Laboratory, Nagpur, to be a member representing the Government of Maharashtra on the Central Committee for Food Standards;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the said Dr. A. R. Sundararajan and Dr. Y. K. Subrahmanyam shall continue to be members of the Central Committee for Food Standards and makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. S.R.O. 1236 dated the 1st June, 1955, namely:—

In the said notification,—

- (i) against item (7), for the entry "Dr. L. N. Suri, Director (Medical & Health), Ministry of Railways (Railway Board), New Delhi, the entry "Dr. Banwari Lal, Director (Health), Ministry of Railways (Railway Board), New Delhi," shall be substituted; and
- (ii) against item (11), for the entry "Dr. S. Ganguly, Assistant Director of Public Health, Incharge Public Health Laboratory, Nagpur", the entry "Dr. S. R. Kulkarni, Assistant Director of Public Health, Incharge Vaccine Institute and Public Health Laboratory, Nagpur", shall be substituted.

[No. F. 14-69/64-PH(L&E.)]

BASHESHAH NATH, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

New Delhi, the 29th March 1965

S.O. 1139.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) read with clause (iv) of sub-section (2), of section 3 of the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan Act, 1962 (13 of 1962) and consequent upon the appointment of Shri Mohan Lal Bhatt as the Secretary of the first Governing Body of the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Gopal Chandra Sinha, Member Official Language (Legislative Commission), as a member of that Body in place of Shri Mohan Lal Bhatt and makes the following further amendment in the notification

of the Government of India in the Ministry of Education No. S.O. 1758 dated the 2nd June, 1962, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading 'Members' under the sub-heading '(d) Other Eminent Persons', for entry (xii), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“(xii) Shri Gopal Chandra Sinha, Member Official Language (Legislative Commission), Ministry of Law, Government of India, New Delhi”.

[No. F. 30-8/64-H.I.]

S.O. 1140.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section of the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan Act, 1962 (13 of 1962), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Mohan Lal Bhatt as the Secretary of the first Governing Body of the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan with effect from the 9th March, 1965 in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Shri Gopal Chandra Sinha and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Education, No. S.O. 1758 dated the 2nd June, 1962, namely:—

In the said notification, for the existing entry under the heading 'SECRETARY', the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“Shri Mohan Lal Bhatt”.

[No. F. 30-8/64-H.I.]

N. S. BHATNAGAR, Under Secy.

शिक्षा मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 29 मार्च, 1965

एस० ओ० 1141-----हिन्दी साहित्य सम्मेलन अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 13वां) के परिच्छेद 8 के उप-परिच्छेद (1) द्वारा प्रदान किए गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्री गोपाल चन्द्र सिंह द्वारा त्याग पत्र के फलस्वरूप हुए रिक्त स्थान पर केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री मोहन लाल भट्ट को, 9 मार्च, 1965 से हिन्दी साहित्य सम्मेलन की प्रथम शासन निकाय के सचिव के रूप में नियुक्त करती है और शिक्षा मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार की 2 जन, 1962 की अधिसूचना सं० एस० ओ० 1758 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उत्सुकता अधिवृत्ति में, “सचिव” शब्द के अन्तर्गत वर्तमान प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि लिख दी जाए अर्थात् :—

“श्री मोहन लाल भट्ट”

[सं० एफ० 30/8/64-एच० I]

एस० ओ० 1142-----हिन्दी साहित्य सम्मेलन अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 13वां) के परिच्छेद 8 की धारा (iv) के उप-परिच्छेद (2) के साथ पढ़े जाने वाले उप-परिच्छेद (1) द्वारा प्रदान किए गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए और श्री मोहन लाल भट्ट के हिन्दी साहित्य सम्मेलन की प्रथम शासन निकाय के सचिव नियुक्त हो जाने के फलस्वरूप केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री मोहन लाल भट्ट के स्थान पर, श्री गोपाल चन्द्र सिंह सदस्य, राज्य भाषा (विघाई आयोग),

को प्रथम शासन निकाय का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है और शिक्षा मंत्रालय भारत सरकार की 2 जून 1962 की अधिसूचना सं० एस० ओ० 1758 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उपर्युक्त अधिसूचना में, शीर्षक "सदस्य", उपशीर्षक (ग) अन्य प्रख्यात व्यक्ति के अन्तर्गत वर्तमान प्रविष्टि (XII) को निम्न प्रकार बदल दिया जाए, अर्थात् :—

"(xii) श्री गोपाल चन्द्र सिंह, सदस्य, राजभाषा (विधार्थ आयोग), विधि मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार, नई दिल्ली ।"

[सं० एफ० 30/8/64-एच० I]

निरंकार स्वरूप भटनागर,
अवर सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 1965.

S.O. 1143.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (I) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (No. 44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri M. L. Sharma, Assistant Settlement Officer in the office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Bombay as Assistant Settlement Officer for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officers by or under the said Act with effect from 3rd March, 1965.

[No. 8(71)AGZ/65.]

KANWAR BAHADUR,

Settlement Commissioner (A) and
Ex-Officio Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY & SUPPLY

(Department of Industry)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 29th March 1965

S.O. 1144.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 18G of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby makes the following Order to further amend the Scooters (Distribution and Sale) Control Order, 1960, namely:—

1. This Order may be called the Scooters (Distribution and Sale) Control (Amendment) Order, 1965.

2. In clause 2 of the Scooters (Distribution and Sale) Central Order, 1960, for sub-clause (d) the following sub-clause shall be substituted namely:—

"(d) "Scooter" means a scooter or a motor-cycle of any description, manufactured or assembled in India, or manufactured in India from components imported into India or manufactured in India or partly imported and partly manufactured in India, and includes every description of scooter or motor-cycle, whether called a scooterette, a moped, an auto-cycle or by any other name;"

[No. A.E. Ind. 9(15)/64.]

R. V. RAMAN, Jt. Secy.

(Department of Industry)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1965.

S.O. 1145/IDRA/6/7.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 5(1) and 8 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, till the 13th October, 1965, Shri M. K. Rangnekar, to be a member of the Development Council established by the Order of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Industry No. S.O. 3022, dated the 14th October, 1963, for the schedule industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Drugs and Pharmaceuticals and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said Order, namely:—

In the said Order, for entry No. 18, relating to Shri P.M. Nabar, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

18. Shri M. K. Rangnekar, Director, Drugs Control Administration, Maharashtra State, Bombay.

[No. 1(10)/Dev. Councils/63.]

C. BALASUBRAMANIAM, Dy. Secy.

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 29th March 1965

S.O. 1146 In partial modification of the then Ministry of Industry (Indian Standards Institution) notification published under S.O. 282 dated 16th January 1964 in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (ii) dated 25th January 1964, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fee per unit for Mild Steel Tubes, Sockets and Tubulars details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, has been revised. The revised rate of marking fee shall come into force with effect from 16 February 1965.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Product/Class of Product	No. and Title of Relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee per Unit
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	Mild Steel Tubes, Sockets and Tubulars	IS : 1239-1964 Specification for Mild Steel Tubes and Tubulars (Revised)	One Metric Tonne	25 Paise

[No. MD/18 : 2]

S.O. 1147.—The article covered in licence No. CM/L-980 held by the Indian Cable Co. Ltd., Calcutta, the details of which are given in the Notification published under S.O. 274 in the Gazette of India, Part II—Section 3(ii) dated 23 January 1965, has been revised as under with effect from 1 Feb. 1965:

PVC Insulated (Heavy Duty) Electric Cables for Working Voltages Up to and including 1100 Volts (with Copper and Aluminium Conductors).

[No. MD/12:1332-A.]

S.O. 1148.—In licence No. CM/L-695 dated 17 June 1964 held by M/s. Spectro Industries Private Limited, Bombay, the details of which are published under S.O. 2590 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section 3(ii) dated

1 August 1964, the list of articles has been revised as follows with effect from 16 March 1965:

Fractional Horse Power Electric Motors, $\frac{1}{2}$ HP, $\frac{1}{4}$ HP, $\frac{1}{8}$ HP, $\frac{1}{16}$ HP and $\frac{1}{32}$ HP, Single Phase, Capacitor Start.

[No. MD/12:1258.]

S.O. 1149.—In licence No. CM/L-598 dated 7 November 1963 held by M/s. Skytone Electricals (India), Faridabad, the details of which are published under S.O. 78 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section 3(ii) dated 2 January 1965, the list of articles has been revised as follows with effect from 15 March 1965:

- (1) Single Core (Unsheathed) PVC Insulated Cables, 250/440 Volts and 650/1 100 Volts Grades with Copper or Aluminium Conductors;
- (2) Single Core (Sheathed) PVC Insulated Cables, 250/440 Volts Grade with Copper or Aluminium Conductors;
- (3) Single Core (Sheathed) PVC Insulated Cables, 650/1 100 Volts Grade with Copper Conductors only; and
- (4) Twin-twisted (Unsheathed) Flexible Cords, 250/440 Volts Grade with Copper Conductors only.

[No. MD/12:1032.]

New Delhi, the 30th March 1965

S.O. 1150.—The article covered in licence No. CM/L-987 held by M/s. Raja Bahadur Motilal Poona Mills Ltd., Poona, the details of which are given in the Notification published under S.O. 274 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, (ii), dated 23 January 1965, has been revised as under the effect from 16 February 1965:

- (i) Drafting Machines.
- (ii) Stands for use with Drafting Machines.
- (iii) Drafting units along with Protractor Head and Scales for use with Drafting Machines.

[No. MD/12:1153-A.]

New Delhi, the 31st March 1965

S.O. 1151.—In licence No. CM/L-605 dated 29 November 1963 held by M/s. Skytone Electricals (India), Faridabad, the details of which are published under S. O. 273 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated 23 January, 1965 the list of articles has been revised as follows with effect from 15 March 1965 :

Type	Voltage	Grade	Conductors
(a) VIR Cables for Fixed Wiring			
(i) Braided and Compounded	250/440 Volts	Volts and 650/1 100	Copper or Aluminium
(ii) Tough Rubber Sheathed	250/440 Volts	Volts and 650/1 100	
(iii) Weatherproof	250/440 Volts	Volts and 650/1 100	
(b) VIR Flexible Cords			
(iv) Twisted and Circular Artificial Silk or Glass Cotton Braided	250/440 Volts		Copper only

[No. MD/12:1033]

New Delhi, the 2nd April 1965

S.O. 1152.—In pursuance of sub-regulations(2)and(3) of regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962 and 1964 the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been established during the period 1 March 1965 to 31 March 1965 :

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and Title of the Indian Standard Established	No. and Title of the Indian Standard or Standards, if any, superseded by the new Indian Standard	Brief Particulars
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	IS: 12-1964 Guide for Drafting Indian Standards (<i>Second Revision</i>)	IS: 12-1958 Guide for drafting Indian Standards (<i>Revised</i>)	This standard covers primarily the method of presentation, composition and editorial practice to be followed in the preparation of Indian Standard Specifications, Test Methods, Codes of Practice, Guides, etc. (Price Rs. 4.00)
2.	IS: 246-1964 Specification for Sodium Thiosulphate, Crystalline (<i>Second Revision</i>)	IS: 246-1957 Specification for Sodium Thiosulphate (<i>Revised</i>)	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for sodium thiosulphate, crystalline. (Price Rs. 3.50)
3.	IS: 554-1964 Dimensions for Pipe Threads for Gas List Tubes and Pressure Tight Screwed Fittings (<i>Revised</i>)	IS: 554-1955 Specification for Pipe Threads for Gas List Tubes and Screwed Fittings (<i>Tentative</i>)	This standard specifies the basic profile, design dimensions, tolerances and designation of pipe threads for gas list tubes as well as those of cocks, valves and other fittings to be connected with gas list tubes where pressure tight joints are made on the thread. Both internal taper to external taper joints and internal parallel to external taper joints have been covered in the standard. External taper threads specified in this standard can also be used with the internal pipe thread specified in IS: 2643-1964 for pressure tight joints on the threads, provided such threads are not truncated. (Price Rs. 4.00)
4.	IS: 663-1964 Specification for Adzes (<i>Revised</i>)	IS: 663-1955 Specification for Adzes.	This standard covers the requirements for high carbon or alloy tool steel adzes weighing 1.25, 1.5 and 2 kg. (Price Rs. 2.00)
5.	IS: 785-1964 Specification for Reinforced Concrete Poles for Overhead Power and Telecommunication Lines (<i>Revised</i>)	IS: 785-1957 Specification for Reinforced Concrete Poles for Overhead Power and Telecommunication Lines.	This standard covers requirements for reinforced concrete poles suitable for use in overhead power and telecommunication lines. Recommendations for the provision of fixing holes for the attachment of normal types of fittings on such poles have also been included in this standard. (Price Rs. 3.50)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
6.	IS: 898-1964 Specification for Coir Fibre (<i>Revised</i>)	IS: 898-1961 Specification for Coir Fibre	This standard prescribes the requirements for four grades of Grade 1, Grade 4. (Price Rs. 2.00)
7.	IS: 2018-1964 Methods of Chemical Analysis of Calcium Silicon	..	This standard covers methods of chemical analysis of calcium Silicon. (Price Rs. 2.00) ‡
8.	IS: 2106 (Part VIII)-1964 Environmental Tests for Electronic Equipment Part VIII: Impact or Shock Test	..	This standard (Part VIII) gives details of the procedure for application of impact or shock test as part of the environmental testing of electronic equipment and other equipment employing similar techniques. (Price Re. 1.00)
9.	IS: 2106 (Part IX)-1964 Environmental Tests for Electronic Equipment Part IX: Drop Test	..	This standard (Part IX) gives details of the procedure for application of drop test as part of the environmental testing in an unpacked condition of electronic equipment and other equipment employing similar techniques. (Price Re. 1.00)
10.	IS: 2418-1964 Specification for Tubular Fluorescent Lamps for General Lighting Service	..	This standard covers the performance and dimensional requirements and methods of tests for tubular fluorescent lamps, with preheated cathodes, for general lighting service having a nominal rated life of 5000 hours. (Price Rs. 4.50)
11.	IS: 2659-1964 Specification for Enamelled Round Copper Wire for Elevated Temperatures	..	This standard covers the requirements of enamelled high conductivity annealed round copper wire for elevated temperatures of sizes 0.050 to 4.000 mm in diameter, and used for winding coils of instruments, electrical machinery and apparatus. The wires covered by this standard have been classified as having a 'Fine' (F), 'Medium' (M), or 'Thick' (T), covering depending on the thickness of insulation. (Price Rs. 5.00)
12.	IS: 2811-1964 Recommendations for Manual Tungsten Inert-Gas Arc-Welding of Stainless Steel.	..	This standard covers recommendations on material, equipment and general workmanship for the manual tungsten inert-gas arc-welding of stainless steel. The recommendations are primarily intended for general engineering application for manual welding of stainless steel up to 6.3 mm thick. (Price Rs. 4.00)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
13.	IS: 2812-1964 Recommendations for Manual Tungsten Inert-Gas Arc-Welding of Aluminium and Aluminium Alloys.	..	This standard covers the recommendations on materials, equipment and general workmanship for manual tungsten inert-gas arc-welding of wrought aluminium and aluminium alloys. The recommendations are primarily intended for general engineering application for manual welding of aluminium and aluminium alloys up to 12.5 mm thick with argon as the shielding gas. (Price Rs. 4.50)
14.	IS: 2828-1964 Glossary of Terms Used in the Plastics Industry	..	This standard defines the terms commonly used in plastics trade and industry. (Price Rs. 4.00)
15.	IS: 2841-1964 Specification for Pig Iron for Special Purposes	..	This specification covers pig iron intended to be used for special purposes. (Price Rs. 1.50)
16.	IS: 2842-1964 Specification for Basic Pig Iron (Coke) for Steel Making Purposes	..	This specification covers basic pig iron (coke) intended to be used for steel making purposes. (Price Rs. 1.50)
17.	IS: 2845-1964 Recommendation on Nominal Pressures for Process Equipment	..	This standard deals with the nominal pressures to be used in the designation of chemical equipment. (Price Re. 1.00)
18.	IS: 2846-1964 Recommendation on Nominal Temperatures for Process Equipment	..	This standard deals with the nominal temperatures to be used in the designation of chemical equipment. (Price Re. 1.00).
19.	IS: 2847-1964 Specification for Cotton Selvage Tape for Electric Cables	..	This standard prescribes constructional details and other particulars of six varieties of unsized cotton selvage tapes for electric cables. (Price Rs. 1.50)
20.	IS: 2851-1964 Specification for Titanium Dioxide for Cosmetic Industry	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for titanium dioxide for cosmetic industry. (Price Rs. 3.00)
21.	IS: 2859-1964 Code of Practice for Treatment of Water for Locomotive Boilers	..	This standard lays down the methods to be adopted for the treatment of water for locomotive boilers. (Price Rs. 1.50)
22.	IS: 2863-1964 Specification for Chlordane, Technical	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for chlordane, technical. (Price Rs. 3.50)
23.	IS: 2883-1964 Specification for Dried White Baits (<i>Anchoviella</i> SP)	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for dried unsalted white baits. (Price Rs. 2.00)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
24	IS:2887-1964 Specification for Laundry Soap Powders	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and methods of sampling and test for laundry soap powders. (Price Re. 1.00)
25	IS:2888-1964 Specification for Toilet Soaps	{ IS:284-1951 Specification for Toilet Soaps IS:839-1956 Specification for Transparent toilet soaps	This standard prescribes the requirements and the method of sampling and test for toilet soaps. (Price Rs. 1.50)
6	IS:2903-1964 Specification for Fixed Carbon Film Resistors, Type II	..	This standard prescribes the methods of tests and requirements for judging the mechanical, electrical and climatic properties of fixed carbon film resistors of Type II with a rated dissipation not exceeding 3 watts and rated resistance values ranging from 10 ohms to 22 megohms and intended for use in equipment for telecommunication and in electronic devices. (Price Rs. 4.50)
27	IS:2906-1964 Specification for Sluice Valves for Water Works Purposes (350 to 1 200 mm Size)	..	This standard covers requirements for non-rising or rising and inside or outside screw spindle type sluice valves from 350 to 1 200 mm size used for water works purposes with double flanged ends for connections. (Price Rs. 4.00)
28	IS:2907-1964 Specification for Non-Ferrous Rivets (1.6 to 10 mm)	..	This standard specifies the requirements of copper, tinned copper, brass and aluminium rivets of sizes 10 mm and below, intended for general purposes. (Price Rs. 2.50)
29	IS:2908-1964 Dimensions for Hand Cranks.	..	This standard specifies materials and main dimensions of straight and offset hand cranks. (Price Re 1.00)
30	IS:2922-1964 Specification for Wooden Tent Mallets	..	This standard covers the essential requirements for wooden tent mallets in three sizes, namely, large, medium and small. (Price Rs. 1.50)
31	IS:2923-1964 Specification for Carmoisine	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for carmoisine. (Price Rs. 2.50)
32	IS:2924-1964 Specification for Fast Red E	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of test for fast red E. (Price Rs. 2.50)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
33	IS:2925-1964 Specification for Industrial Safety Helmets	..	This standard lays down the requirements regarding material, construction, workmanship and finish and performance requirements of helmets intended to provide protection against falling objects and other hazards which may be encountered in mining, tunnelling, quarrying, ship-building, construction projects and similar other occupations. (Price Rs. 4.50)
34	IS:2926-1964 Dimensions of Connectors for Radio Batteries	..	This standard covers the dimensional requirements of connectors intended for use with primary batteries. (Price Rs. 2.00)
35	IS:2930-1964 Specification for Hose Laying Tender for Fire Brigade Use	..	This standard lays down the requirements regarding material, design and construction, workmanship and finish, accessories and equipment, and acceptance tests of hose laying tender for fire brigade use. (Price Rs. 3.00)
36	IS:2937-1964 Specification for Seeds of Cabbage	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for seeds of cabbage (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. var. <i>capitata</i> L.) (Price Rs. 1.50)
37	IS:2965-1964 Specification for Breaking Cord for Cotton Parachutes	..	This standard prescribes constructional details and other particulars of breaking cord for cotton parachutes. (Price Rs. 1.50)
38	IS:2968-1964 Dimensions of Slide Rails for Electric Motors	..	This standard covers dimensional requirements of slide rails (excluding foundation bolts) used for mounting electric motors. (Price Re. 1.00)
39	IS:2969-1964 Method for Determination of Added Oil Content of Jute Yarn and Fabrics	..	This standard prescribes a method for determination of added oil content of all types of jute yarn and fabrics. (Price Re 1.00)
40	IS:2971-1964 Specification for Cotton Fabric for Target Sleeves	..	This standard prescribes constructional details and other particulars of cotton fabric for target sleeves. (Price Rs. 2.00)
41	IS:2975-1964 Dimensions for Control Levers with Ball Grip	—	This standard specifies the material and dimensions of control lever with ball grips. (Price Re 1.00)

Copies of these Indian Standards are available for sale, with the Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, 9 Bhadar Sah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1, and also its branch offices at (i) Bombay Mutual Terrace, First Floor, 524 Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay-7, (ii) Third & Fourth Floors, 5 Chawringhee Approach, Calcutta-13, (iii) Second Floor, Sathyamurthy Bhavan, 54 General Patters Road, Madras-2 and (iv) 14/69 Civil Lines, Kanpur.

[No. MD/13:2]
D.V. KARMARKAR,
It. Director (Marks).

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SECURITY

New Delhi, the 30th March 1965

S.O. 1153.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 87 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts the Indian Refineries Ltd., Gauhati, from all the provisions of the said Act, except Chapter VA, for a further period of 6 months with effect from the 23rd March, 1965.

[No. F. 6/16/65-HI.]

New Delhi, the 31st March 1965

S.O. 1154.—In pursuance of sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 4 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Debu Bose as member of the Regional Committee for the State of West Bengal in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Shri Haripada Mazumdar and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1278, dated the 20th June, 1953, namely:—

In the said notification, for entry (8), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“(8) Shri Debu Bose, 86/1-B, Golf Club Road, Calcutta-33.”

[No. 12/6/64/PF-II.]

New Delhi, the 3rd April 1965

S.O. 1155.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the seasonal nature of the industry carried on in the factory, hereby exempts Shri Mijjimal Gillumal Cotton Ginning and Pressing factory, Hathras, from the payment of the employee's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year with effect from the 1st May, 1965.

[No. F. 6/35/65-HI.]

S.O. 1156.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5A of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1861 dated the 31st October, 1952, the Central Government hereby constitutes with effect from the 1st April, 1965, the Central Board of Trustees consisting of the following persons, namely:—

Chairman

1. The Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Social Security, New Delhi.

Members

Officials of the Central Government.

2. Shri N.N. Chatterjee, Joint Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi.
3. Shri Shah Aziz Ahmad, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Social Security, New Delhi.
4. Shri K.J. George, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Industry and Supply, New Delhi.
5. Shri K. Sankaran, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.
6. Shri B.S. Bhatnagar, Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Steel & Mines, New Delhi.

Officials of the State Governments.

7. The Commissioner of Labour, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
8. The Secretary to the Government of Bihar, Department of Labour and Employment, Patna.
9. The Deputy Secretary to the Government of Gujarat, Education and Labour Department, Ahmedabad.
10. The Commissioner of Labour, Government of Kerala, Travancore.
11. The Commissioner of Labour, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal, Indore.
12. The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras (Labour and Transport), Department of Industries, Labour and Co-operation, Madras.

13. The Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, Industries and Labour Department, Bombay.
14. The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Health, Labour and Municipal Administration Department, Bangalore.
15. The Commissioner of Labour, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Kanpur.
16. The Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Labour Department, Calcutta.

Representatives of Employers appointed by the Central Government in consultation with the Organisations of employers.

17. Shri Surottam, P. Hutheesing, Shahibag, Ahmedabad.
18. Shri M. M. Varghese, Messers A.V. Thomas and Company Limited, Post Box No. 47, Alleppey, Kerala.
19. Shri H.P. Merchant, "Woodlands", Pedder Road, Bombay-26.
20. Shri M.V. Arunachalam, Messers Carborundum Universals Limited, 52/53, Jehangir Street, Madras.
21. Shri Gopikisan Piramal, Morarjee Goculdas Spinning and Weaving Company Limited, Dr. Ambedkar Road, Parel, Bombay-12.
22. Shri M. Ghose, Labour Adviser, Bengal Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Royal Exchange, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1.

Representatives of employees appointed by the Central Government in consultation with the Organisations of the employees.

23. Shri K.T.K. Tangamani, Secretary, All India Trade Union Congress, Pudunagar Colony, Tirumangalam, Madurai District Madras.
24. Shri Kisan Tulpule, General Secretary, Mill Mazdoor Sabha, Patel Terrace, Parel, Bombay-12.
25. Shri V.V. Dravid, Vice President, Indian National Trade Union Congress, Shram Shivir, Snehataganj, Indore.
26. Shri Kali Mukherjee, President, Indian National Trade Union Congress, Bengal Branch, 177-B, Acharya Jagdish Bose, Road, Calcutta-14.
27. Shri N.S. Deshpande, C/o Rashtriya Mill Mazdoor Sangh, Parel Tank Road, Parel, Bombay-12.
28. Shri Gopeshwar, General Secretary, Asansol Iron and Steel Workers' Union, Bari Manzil, P.O. Burnpur, District Burdwan, West Bengal.

[No. 12/10/62/PF-IL]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 31st March, 1965.

S.O. 1157.—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarati to be of the description specified against each in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm.	Name of the applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Mahitichitra No. 50	254.57 M	Director of Information, Government of Gujarat, Ahmedabad.		The Film dealing with news and current events (for release in Gujarat Circuit only).
2	Mahitichitra No. 51	224.03 M	Do.		Do.
3	Mahitichitra No. 52	262.12 M	Do.		Do.
4	Audyogic Gujarat	553.07 M	Do.		The film is intended for educational purposes (for release in Gujarat Circuit only).
5	Sahakarathi Sampati	244.14 M	Do.		Do.
6	Gujaratman Panchayati Raj.	268.83 M	Do.		Do.

[No. F. 24/1/65-FP App. 983.]

S.O. 1158.—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in all their language versions to be of the description specified against each in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film.	Length 35 mm	Name of the applicant	Name of the producer	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Maharashtra News No. 153 (Marathi & Hindi)	302 M	Director of Publicity, Government of Maharashtra, Bombay.		The film dealing with news and current events (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only).

1	2	3	4	5	6
2	Samay Rehate Savdhan Velevarach Savadh Vhave (Marathi & Hindi)	100.58 M	Director of Publicity, Government of Maharashtra, Bombay.		The film intended for educational purposes (for release in Maharashtra Circuit only).
3	Aajcha Shekari (Marathi)	290.47 M	Do.		Do

[No. F. 24/1/65-FP App. 984.]

J. K. JAIN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT*New Delhi, the 3rd April 1965*

S.O. 1159.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R. G. Deo to be the Chief Inspector of Mines for all the territories to which the said Act extends, with effect from the 1st April, 1965 *vice* Shri G. S. Jabbi.

[No. 8/13/65-M1.]

R. C. SAKSENA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 3rd April 1965

S.O. 1160.—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Madras Unregistered Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1957, which the Central Government proposes to make, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 10th May, 1965.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Madras Unregistered Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Schemes, 1965.

2. In the Madras Unregistered Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1957 in clause 4, after sub-clause (e), the following sub-clause shall be inserted, namely:—

“(ee) providing medical facilities for listed dock workers;”

[No. 531/7/65-Fac.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 5th April 1965

S.O. 1161.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to Messrs Mohammed and Sons, Gypsum Contractors of Messrs Associated Cement Companies Limited, Jodhpur and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 25th March, 1965.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a Reference under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 47).

REFERENCE No. 55 OF 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Messrs Mohammad and Sons, Gypsum Contractors, Jodhpur to Messrs Associated Cement Companies Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen employed in Bhadwasi Gypsum Mines.

PRESENT:

Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A.,B.L., Presiding Officer.

AFFEEARANCES:

For the Employers:

Sarvashri Hamidullah Khan, one of the Partners with Ujas M. al, Munib of the Company.

For the Workmen:

Shri Roshan Lal, Joint Secretary of the Gypsum Mines Workers' Union.

STATE: Rajasthan.

INDUSTRY: Gypsum Mines.

Camp: Jodhpur, dated the 22nd March, 1965

AWARD

Ministry of Labour & Employment, by its order No. 23/17/61-LR.II, dated the 29th May, 1963, referred an industrial dispute existing between Messrs Mohammad & Sons, Gypsum Contractors to Messrs Associated Cement Companies Ltd., Pali-ki-Havelli, Inside Sojati Gate, Jodhpur, and their workmen employed in Bhadwasi, Gypsum Mines, to the Central Government Tribunal at Delhi, under Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act for adjudication, but subsequently on 6th July, 1963 it was transferred to this Tribunal for disposal from the stage at which it was being transferred to this Tribunal and to dispose it of according to law.

2. This Reference on transfer was received by this Tribunal on 26th July, 1963 and was numbered as Reference 55 of 1963 and, thereafter, the Union filed its written statement on 16th August, 1963 and the Company also filed its written statement on 9th September, 1963.

3. The only item of dispute, after its amendment on the 17th September, 1963, for adjudication is as below:

"Whether the workmen are entitled to any Bonus for the period from the 1st January, 1960 to the 31st December, 1960."

4. It may be mentioned that regarding the Bonus for the Calendar years 1958 and 1959 there was another Reference, Reference No. 32 of 1963, in which the question of bonus for the years 1958-59 and 1959-60, besides many other items of dispute, were referred for adjudication. The said reference has been disposed of and an Award has been given by this Tribunal on 28th November, 1963 and an appeal against the said Award, on special leave, is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Petition For Special Leave To Appeal No. 383 of 1964 and the implementation of the said Award, subject to certain terms, has been stayed till the decision of the appeal before the Supreme Court.

5. When this case was taken up for hearing at Jodhpur on 20th March, 1965, the management was represented by Shri Hamidullah Khan, one of the Partners of the Company, and the Manib of the Company, Shri Ujas Mal; and, Shri Roshan Lal, Joint Secretary of the Union, represented the workmen concerned. On 20th March, 1965 it was agreed between the parties that the question of determination of the quantum of bonus for the calendar 1960 would abide the decision of the Supreme Court and, as such, the present Reference on agreement between the parties be disposed of and an Award be passed to the effect that if, and when, the Supreme Court Appeal is decided, the quantum of bonus for the calendar year 1960 will be determined according to the principles laid down by the Supreme Court in the said appeal and both the parties took time till 22nd March, 1965 to

file a joint petition on that line and, accordingly, the case was adjourned to 22nd March, 1965.

6. On 22nd March, 1965, however, when the case was taken up, on behalf of the Union a petition was filed that as the decision of the Supreme Court in respect of the bonus for the years 1958 and 1959, which is pending, will govern the principles, manner and quantum of the bonus for the year 1960 also, which is in dispute in the present Reference, therefore, this Tribunal may give Award on these terms accordingly. The management, subsequently, as it was late in coming to court, came and was represented by Shri Hamidullah Khan, one of the Partners, who filed a petition to the effect that the Award in the present case be given after the decision of the Supreme Court Appeal, which virtually meant asking for stay of the present proceeding pending the decision of the appeal before the Supreme Court. As it is not known how long the Supreme Court Appeal will take to be disposed of, it was an appeal of 1964 and was admitted on the 14th April, 1964 and the *ad interim* stay order was made absolute on the 8th May, 1964 and the parties themselves were not in a position to state definitely whether the appeal will be disposed of within this year or it will take one or two years, I did not consider it advisable to keep the present reference pending without any useful purpose and, therefore, I rejected the petition for stay and decided to accept the petition and the suggestion contained in it by the Union.

7. Subsequently, however, both the parties filed a joint petition of compromise incorporating their terms of agreement, which are reproduced below, and they both prayed that in terms of the said compromise an Award be passed:

Terms of Agreement

- "1. The management has filed copies of Profit and Loss Account, Balance Sheets and Income-tax paid by partners which is accepted as correct for the calendar year 1960.
2. That available surplus shall be worked out according to the principles and manner that may be laid down by the Supreme Court in the appeal pending before the said Court (Special Leave to Appeal No. 383 of 1964).
3. That within one month of the decision of the appeal the available surplus for the purpose of bonus for the calendar year 1960 shall be worked out.
4. That within one month after it has been so determined it shall be paid to workmen."

8. Accordingly, the Reference is answered in terms of the Agreement above-mentioned and an Award, in terms of the Agreement referred to above, is made as prayed for by both the parties. Both the parties within one month after the decision of the Supreme Court will determine the *quantum* of bonus for the calendar year 1960, which is in dispute in the present Reference, according to the principles laid down by the Supreme Court in the appeal pending there in respect of the bonus for the calendar years 1958 and 1959, and, the determined amount will be paid by the management to the workmen concerned within one month from the date of the determination of the quantum of the bonus.

9. This is the Award which I make and submit to the Central Government under Section 15 of the Act.

(Sd.) RAJ KISHORE PRASAD,
Presiding Officer.

Camp: Jodhpur,
The 22nd March, 1965.

[No. 24/22/65-L.R.I.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 1st April 1965

S.O. 1162.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Industry/West Ena Colliery, Post Office Dhansar, District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of the Industry/West Ena Colliery in not providing work to Shri Sibani Bhuia, coal cutter, with effect from the 7th July, 1964 was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/32/65-LR-II.]

S.O. 1163.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bejdih Colliery of M/s. Equitable Coal Co. Ltd., P.O. Dishergarh, Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the termination of services of Sarvashri Tuha Das, Laroo Das and Bandhu Das, Blacksmiths of Bejdih Colliery on medical grounds was justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 6/25/65-LR-II.]

S.O. 1164.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Ramnagar Colliery, Post Office Ramnagar Colliery, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (i) Whether the workmen mentioned in Annexure I were stopped from work by the management or they left of their own accord? If they were stopped by the management, to what relief are they entitled?
- (ii) Whether the management's action in terminating the services of Shri Ram Brich S/o Shiv Bhol, Night Guard, with effect from the 16th June, 1964, and of Shri Shyam Narain S/o Lochan Ram, Office Peon, with effect from the 5th July, 1964, was justified? If not, to what relief are they entitled?
- (iii) What remuneration, if any, should be paid to the Miners employed in the Colliery for pushing empty tubs and from which date?
- (iv) Whether the workmen mentioned in Annexure II are entitled to be paid any compensation for stoppage of work in the Mine commencing from the 3rd August, 1964, and ending with the 8th August, 1964?
- (v) Whether Shri Ramdas and Shrimati Prem Bai, sweepers, were employed for the full day and all the seven days of the week although they were paid on part time basis? If so, to what relief are they entitled?
- (vi) Whether Shri Jhoolan, S/o Sakharan, Blacksmith, was made to work in the colliery for 6 days in a week although he was paid for five days only? If so, to what relief is he entitled?
- (vii) Whether the management of Ramnagar Colliery are justified in paying category V wages to the pick miners cum loaders employed in the colliery for cutting and loading a tub of 40.5 cft.? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled and from which date?

ANNEXURE I

Sl. No.	Name	Father's name
1	Jagdhari	Budhsen
2	Meku	Jhulagi
3	Chhanga Singh	Shiv Lal
4	Rikhi Ram	Ishwar Din
5	Net Ram	Jawahir
6	Ram Sujan	Bhagwani
7	Samaru	Baldev
8	Gokul	Baldev
9	Hira Lal	Kunni
10	Mithai Lal	Jagdhari
11	Raghuber	Hari Lal
12	Chhangu Lal	Munju
13	Amdani Singh	Bhupsay
14	Sarvan	Meghai
15	Visal	Bhakla
16	Amol Singh	Thakurdin
17	Nathu Ram Vishvkarma	
18	Dashmat w/o	Hira Lal
19	Bahoran	Itwari
20	Dalsay	Jageser
21	Jai Singh	Ahibaran
22	Angad	Moti
23	Sukhi Lal	Bisesar
24	Belu Devi	Bechu
25	Piyare	Baredi
26	Kharidupahari	Gauri
27	Babulal	Budhusen
28	Kemla	Bachu Lal
29	Maina	Semlu
30	Charan	Budhuwa
31	Kashi Ram	Kodu
32	Sukh Ram	Ishwar Din
33	Phirta	Somen
34	Chhote Lal	Bhagwani

ANNEXURE II

Sl. No.	Name	Father's name
1	Semlal	
2	Mahabir	
3	Ramdhari	
4	Bahorilal	
5	Meyalal	
6	Pancham	
7	Hichharam	
8	Malikram	
9	Hira	
10	Samelal	
11	Pancham	
12	Sukhlal	
13	Nathu	
14	Dev Narayan	
15	Sitaram	
16	Bir Sap	
17	Jaykaran	
18	Hira	

Sl. No.	Name	Father's name
19	Chhotu	
20	Meyalal	
21	Babulal	Jaykaran
22	Manbodh	
23	Budhsen	
24	Sakhan	
25	Ramkaran	
26	Jagbandhan	
27	Kanhai	
28	Phulvakra	
29	Babulal	Narayan
30	Sughu	
31	Besahn	
32	Rajaram	
33	Ramsay	
34	Sagat	
35	Badbulal	
36	Bharat	
37	Brijlal	
38	Chanderdev	
39	Bhakoli	
40	Ramnath	
41	Ram Asre	
42	Ramu	
43	Thakur Prasad	
44	Ramratan	
45	Piyare	
46	Bhagwali	
47	Budhu	
48	Mukhlal	
49	Angad	
50	Bansh Gopal	
51	Rampal	
52	Budhsen	
53	Bansh Bahadur	
54	Ram Say	
55	Nauhar Say	
56	Reku	
57	Jagatram	
58	Baiga	
59	Tamurha	
60	Khamaru	Charku
61	Nanku	
62	Govind	
63	Sukhdev	
64	Sukhsen	Mahangu
65	Somnath	
66	Ajmer	
67	Ramsunder	
68	Mahipal	
69	Ramcharan	
70	Birsay	
71	Jaykaran	
72	Phulelsinh	
73	Chhotelal	
74	Ramgarib	
75	Bhagwali	
76	Dayaram	Bholarh
77	Peshvaranjan	
78	Nandlal	
79	Chirya	
80	Jhukhan	
81	Bigni	
82	Mangli	
83	Dashmatiya	

Sl. No.	Name	Father's name
84	Manohar	
85	Ramadhin	
86	Thela	
87	Rambisal	
88	Balram	
89	Shivmolan	
90	Sukhdev Anandi	
91	Johri	
92	Ramai	
93	Kallu	
94	Picharu	
95	Bulbu	
96	Bharosasinh	
97	Anjitram	
98	Dhannuram	
99	Jethu	
100	Jolha	
101	Sanjivan	
102	Ranjitsinh	
103	Swamidin	
104	Sonlay	
105	Dhupsay	
106	Mangliya	
107	Narayan	
108	Mithu	
109	Besakhu	
110	Paharu	
111	Nanhu	

[No. 1/23/64-LR II].

S.O. 1165.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the management of the Khas Mehtadih Colliery of Messrs Khas Mehtadih Colliery Company, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad (hereinafter referred to as the said Company) and their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Dhanbad, (hereinafter referred to as the Union);

And, whereas the said Company and the Union have, by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to arbitration of the person named therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 29th March, 1965.

(Agreement)

(Under section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN

Khas Mehtadih Colliery of
M/s. Khas Mehtadih Colliery Co.,
P.O. Katrasgarh (Dhanbad).

Representing the employers

Colliery Mazdoor Sangh,
Dhanbad, P.O. & Dist. Dhanbad,

Representing the workmen

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri N. K. Chaddha, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad:—

(1) *Specific matters in dispute*

- (A) Whether the action of the management of Khas Mehtadih Colliery of M/s. Khas Mehtadih Colliery Company, P.O. Katrasgarh (Dhanbad) in retrenching the following five workmen with effect from 8th February 1965 was legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled to?

Names of the workers

- (1) Shri Bishni Bhar, Bailing Mazdoor.
- (2) Shri Jivit Gope, Bailing Mazdoor
- (3) Shri Azim Mian, Pump Khalasi.
- (4) Shri Ganiruddin Mian, Pump Khalasi.
- (5) Shri Judhithir Rajwar, Pump Khalasi.

- (B) Whether the management of Khas Mehtadih Colliery of M/s. Khas Mehtadih Colliery Company, P.O. Katrasgarh (Dhanbad) was justified in suspending Shri Sahadul Mian, Miner for 8 days with effect from 4th December 1964? If, not to what relief the workman is entitled to?

- (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved:—

The management of Khas Mehtadih Colliery
of M/s. Khas Mehtadih Colliery Company,
P.O. Katrasgarh (Dhanbad).

Representing the employers.

Vrs.

The Colliery Mazdoor Sangh,
Dhanbad, P.O. & Dist.
Dhanbad.

Representing the workmen.

- (iii) Name of the union, if any, representing the workmen in question:—

*Colliery Mazdoor Sangh
(INTUC).*

- (iv) Total No. of workmen employed in the undertaking affected:—

945

- (v) Estimated No. of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute:—

6.

DHANBAD,

Dated 24th February, 1965.

Signature of the parties.

Representing employers:

Sd./- Manager.

Representing workmen:

Sd./- Secretary,
Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

Witnesses: 1 Sd./-

2. Sd./- R. S. GIRI.

New Delhi, the 2nd April, 1965.

S.O. 1166.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bhalgora Colliery, Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kailu Ram, stone cutter/night guard, with effect from the 12th June, 1964 by the management of the Bhalgora Colliery was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/103/64-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 3rd April 1965

S.O. 1167.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Ardhogram Khas Colliery, P.O. Ardhogram Dist. Bankura and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal from service of the following 8 workers with effect from the 16th October, 1964 by the management of Ardhogram Khas Colliery was with a view to victimise the workers for their trade union activities and if so, to what relief are they entitled?

Name	Designation
1. Shri Fanindra Nath Rai	Munsi
2. Shri Manindra Nath Dahari	Attendance Clerk
3. Shri Sheonandan Singh	Munsi
4. Shri Ram Sakal Singh	Magazine Guard
5. Shri Ramadhar Singh	Munsi
6. Shri Ram Chandra Yadav	Magazine Guard
7. Shri Monohar Singh	Despatch Clerk
8. Shri Sunil Kumar Mukherjee	Attendance Clerk

[No. 6/15/65-LR.II.]

S.O. 1168.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Samla Dalurband Colliery, P.O. Pandaveshwar, Distt. Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Samla Dalurband Colliery in terminating the services of their workmen S/Shri Ram Balak Singh, Ganawari Paswan, Kailash Singh and Dhaneswar Singh with effect from the 16th June, 1964, was justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 6/28/65-LR.II.]

S.O. 1169.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs L. B. Simoes, Mine Owners, Curchorem, Sanvordem, Goa and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the refusal of work by the management of Messrs. L. B. Simoes to Shri Andrew Vaz, Driver, from the 6th May, 1964, is justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 24/12/65-LR.]

S.O. 1170.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Pootkee Colliery of Messrs. Bhowra Kankanee Colliery Limited, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the dismissal of the workmen named below by the management of the Pootkee Colliery of Messrs Bhowra Kankanee Collieries Limited, from the dates shown against each was justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

Name and designation	Date from which dismissed
1. Rangoo Kora, Underground Trammer	1-10-1964
2. Jagdish Bhuian, Underground Trammer	1-10-1964
3. Matar Bhuian, Surface Trammer	1-10-1964
4. Soukhi Dusadh, Underground Trammer	30-9-1964
5. Gobind Dusadh, C. C. Machine helper	1-10-1964

[No. 2/149/64-LR.II.]

New Delhi, the 5th April 1965

S.O. 1171.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Girmint Colliery of M/s. Bengal Coal Co. Ltd., P.O. Dishergarh, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of the Girmint Colliery in suspending from service the following 11 Conveyor loaders for ten days with effect from the 14th August, 1964, was with a view to victimising them for their trade union activities? If so, to what relief are they entitled?

Name of Conveyor loaders

1. Shri Hardish Chamar.
2. Shri Ritu Chamar.
3. Shri Parsan Chamar.
4. Shri Hansi Chamar.
5. Shri Ramjash Dhanuk.
6. Shri Chedi Singh.
7. Shri Rambachan.
8. Shri Thag Dusadh.
9. Shri Sukhari Chamar.
10. Shri Sewpujan Tewari.
11. Shri Surjman Chamar.

[No. 6/87/64-LR. II.]

S.O. 1172.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Manki Colliery No. 1 of Unican (Sales) Private Limited and Messrs Ramji Rupa, Managing Contractors (Post Office Ray, District Ranchi) of the one part and their workmen of the other part in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Manki Colliery No. 1 of Unican (Sales) Private Limited and Messrs Ramji Rupa, Managing Contractors, in suspending Shri Judagi Singh, Miners' Sirdar/Overman from service with effect from the 26th June, 1964 and subsequently dismissing him from service was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/7/65-LR. II.]

S.O. 1173.—Whereas the employers in relation to Messrs Travancore Titanium Products Limited, Trivandrum and their workmen represented by the Titanium Products Labour Union (INTUC) Titanium Workers' Union (AITUC) and Travancore Titanium Products Employees' Union (UTUC) have jointly applied to the Central Government for reference to a Tribunal of an industrial dispute in respect of the matters set forth in the said application and reproduced in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the said employees' unions represent a majority of the workmen;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

FORM-A

(See Rule 3)

Form of application for the reference of an industrial dispute to a Tribunal under section 10(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whereas an industrial dispute exists between Messrs. Travancore Titanium Products Limited, Trivandrum and their workmen represented by the Titanium Products Labour Union (INTUC), Titanium Workers Union (AITUC), and Travancore Titanium Products Employees' Union (UTUC) and it is expedient that the dispute specified in the enclosed statement which are connected with or relevant to the dispute should be referred for adjudication by a Tribunal an application is hereby made under section 10(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, that the said disputes should be referred to a Tribunal.

A statement giving the particulars required under rule 3 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957, is attached.

Dated the 28th January, 1965.

for TRAVANCORE TITANIUM
PRODUCTS LIMITED

(Sd.) K. B. WARRIER,
Managing Director.

1. President, Titanium Workers' Union.

(Sd.) K. V. SURENDRANATH.

2. President, Travancore Titanium Products Employees' Union.

(Sd.) K. PANKAJAKSHAN.

3. General Secretary, Titanium Products Labour Union.

(Sd.) S. VARADARAJAN NAIR.

4. General Secretary, Titanium Workers' Union.

(Sd.) R. BALAKRISHNA PILLAI.

5. General Secretary, Travancore Titanium Products Employees' Union.

(Sd.) P. KUMARAN NAIR.

6. President, Titanium Products Labour Union.

(Sd.) A. VENKITACHALAM.

Statement required under rule 3 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules 1957, to accompany the form of application prescribed under sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

- (a) Parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.

Travancore Titanium Products Ltd.
Kochuveli, Trivandrum-7.

&

1. Titanium Workers' Union (AITUC).
2. Travancore Titanium Products Employees' Union (UTUC).
3. Titanium Products Labour Union (INTUC).

- (b) Specific matters in dispute.

"Whether the present rate of fixed and variable Dearness Allowance is adequate, if not to what extent these should be increased?"

- (c) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected. 511
- (d) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute. 511
- (e) Efforts made by the parties themselves to adjust the dispute.

Mutual discussions were held between the parties with a view to arrive at an amicable settlement in regard to the dispute and when these efforts failed the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central, Madras, held conciliation proceedings with a view to settle the dispute, on 27-7-64, 29-9-64 and 20-10-64. However no settlement could be reached on the issue. This issue has been included as item No. (1) of order No. 24/6/64-LR.I, dated 28th October, 1964 of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi. Subsequent to the issue of the order a mutual settlement was arrived at in regard to the other items mentioned in the above stated order, the parties also agreeing that the dispute regarding D.A. will form the basis of an application to be made to the Government of India under section 10(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act. Accordingly this application is being submitted for necessary action. Copy of the agreement has been sent to you by registered post *vide* our letter 12-11-64.

for TRAVANCORE TITANIUM
PRODUCTS LTD.

(Sd.) K. B. WARRIER,
Managing Director.

1. President, Titanium Workers' Union.
(Sd.) K. V. SURENDRANATH.
2. President, T. T. P. Employees' Union.
(Sd.) K. PANKAJAKSHAN.
3. General Secretary, T. P. Labour Union.
(Sd.) S. VARADARAJAN NAIR.
4. General Secretary, Titanium Workers' Union.
(Sd.) R. BALAKRISHNA PILLAI.
5. General Secretary, Travancore Titanium Products Employees' Union.
(Sd.) P. KUMARAN NAIR.
6. President, Titanium Products Labour Union.
(Sd.) A. VENKITACHALAM.

[No. 24/9/65-LR.I.]

S.O. 1174.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the management of Kiriburu Iron Ore Mine, of National Mineral Development Corporation Limited, Kiriburu Project, Kiriburu and their monthly-rated workmen represented by five workmen duly authorised in this behalf at a meeting of the workmen held for the purpose;

And, whereas the said Company and the five representatives, who represent the majority of the workmen, have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), referred the said dispute to arbitration of the person mentioned therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by the Central Government on the 31st March, 1965.

AGREEMENT

FORM C

(See Rule 6)

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

NAME OF THE PARTIES:

Representing employers: General Manager, National Mineral Development Corporation Limited, Kiriburu Project, Kiriburu.

Representing Workmen: Chosen representatives representing the monthly paid workmen of Kiriburu Iron Ore Project, Kiriburu.

1. Shri S. K. Tah.
2. Shri S. Greene.
3. Shri R. P. Puri.
4. Shri K. K. Das.
5. Shri C. K. Misra.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer to following industrial disputes to the arbitration of Shri L. P. Dave, Chairman, Central Wage Board for the Iron Ore Mining Industry Calcutta.

(i) Specific matters in dispute:

- (1) Recovery of charges from the workers for the supply of electricity;
- (2) Recovery of house rent from the workers;
- (3) Recovery of hire charges from the workers for transport, to and from their residences and work sites.
- (4) Recovery of hire charges from workers for residential furniture; and
- (5) Recovery of charges from the workers for conservancy-services.

Ref: Notices under Section 9A of the I.D. Act: 47 issued by the management:—

- (1) For Electricity: No. KP-32(12)/64-Adm. I dated 22-7-1964.
- (2) For House rent, Conservancy and furniture Hire: No. KP-32(14)/64-Adm. I dated 9-6-64.
- (3) For transport: No. KP-32(12)/64-Adm. I, dated 24-6-64.

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or the undertaking involved:

Management of NMDC represented by the General Manager on one hand and the monthly paid rated employees who are workmen under I.D. Act: 47 employed at Kiriburu on the other hand.

(iii) Name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen in question: Nil.

(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected: 500 (five hundred).

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.

About 1000 workers are daily rated. They may indirectly in near future be also affected to some extent. These 1000 (about) daily rated workers are not party to this arbitration.

Signature of the parties,

Witness:

1. (OM P. MALHOTRA),
Labour Officer,
Kiriburu Iron Ore
Project, Kiriburu.
2. (S. S. SAGGU),
Asstt. Engineer (Civil),
Kiriburu.

1. Representing the management of NMDC,
Kiriburu Iron Ore Mine, Kiribura,
(D. R. BHARADWAJ),
General Manager.

2. Representing the monthly rated workmen
employed at Kiriburu.

Authorised 5 workmen to sign the agree-
ment for and on behalf of all the month-
ly rated workmen employed at Kiriburu
Iron Ore Mine.

Dated:

1. (Sd.) Illegible.
2. (Sd.) Illegible.
3. (Sd.) Illegible.
4. (Sd.) Illegible.
5. (Sd.) Illegible.

Witness.

Sd./- OM P. MALHOTRA,
Labour Officer.

NATIONAL MINERAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD. KIRIBURU
IRON ORE PROJECT, ADMINISTRATION & GENL. DEPARTMENT
No. KP-32(12)/64-Adm. I.

Dated 22nd July, 1964.

FORM E

(See Rule 34)

Notification of change to be given by an employer

Name of Employer and address:

National Mineral Development Corporation Ltd.
Kiriburu Iron Ore Project,
P.O. Kiriburu Base Camp,
Dist. Keonjhar, Orissa.

Dt July, 1964.

To

1. The Secretary,
Kiriburu Workers' Union,
Kiriburu (for mere information only)
2. Five Workers' representatives of the Kiriburu
Project as named below:
 1. Shri S. K. Tah,
 2. Shri Sukhbir Sahai,
 3. Shri S. Greenc,
 4. Shri R. P. Puri,
 5. Shri S. Massey,
3. All the workmen employed at NMDC Kiriburu
Iron Ore Project through Notice Boards
at various Wings.

Workmen affected.

Dear Sir/Sirs,

In accordance with Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Act XIV of 1947) the Corporation begs to inform you that it is the intention of the Corporation to affect the charges specified in annexure attached to this letter, with effect from the expiry of 21 days from the date of issue of this notice.

Sd./- (D. R. BHARADWAJ),
22-7-64.

General Manager,
Representing the NMDC Kiriburu Iron
Ore Project at Kiriburu.

KIRIBURU IRON ORE PROJECT
ADMINISTRATION & GENERAL DEPARTMENT

Annexure to notice in Form 'E' under Rule 34 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957—Reference office notice No. KP-32(19) Adm. I dated:

The following recoveries shall be made:—

1. Electricity—on the basis of actual consumption as per meter readings. The rate of recovery per unit for domestic power and lighting and other has been fixed at 24 paise per unit.

2. Whether there is no provision of meter or the meter goes out of order the charges for electricity consumption shall be recovered on the basis of light point, plug point, power plug point and fan point as per the rates specified below:—

	Rate per month recoverable
(i) Light point	Re. 0.87 Paise per point
(ii) Plug point	—Do.—
(iii) Power plug point	Rs. 7.50 Paise per point
(iv) Fan point	Rs. 3.75 Paise per point
3. Connection and re-connection charge—	
(a) Single phase	Rs. 1.50 every time
(b) Three phase	Rs. 3.00 every time
(c) Testing of meters where demanded by the consumer—	
(a) Single phase meter	Rs. 5/-
(b) Three phase meter	Rs. 15/-

NOTE:—In the event of meter being tested by the department and found to be beyond the limits of accuracy as prescribed in the Indian Electricity Rules, in force from time to time, the testing fee of meters will be refunded and the amount of bill adjusted in accordance with the results of the test taken with respect to the meter readings of three months prior to the month during which the dispute has arisen, due regard being paid to conditions of occupancy during the months.

4. Meter rent shall be recovered when the cost of meter is not included in the building cost, at the rates specified below:—

(a) Single phase meter upto 15 Amps.	Re. 0.50 Paise per month
(b) Single phase meter above 15 Amps.	Re. 0.75 Paise per month
(c) Three phase meter upto 50 Amps.	Rs. 2 per month
(d) Three phase meter above 50 Amps.	Rs. 4 per month

5. No one shall tamper with the electric installation. In case of repairs/breakdowns/damage and alteration etc. an authorised Electrician shall attend to these jobs.

Sd./- (D. R. BHARADWAJ),
General Manager,
22-7-1964.

KIRIBURU IRON ORE PROJECT
ADMINISTRATION & GENERAL DEPARTMENT

No. KP-32(14)/64-Adm. I.

Dt. June, 1964.

FORM E

(See Rule 34)

Notice of change to be given by an employer

Name of Employer
and address

National Mineral Development Corporation Ltd.,
Kiriburu Iron Ore Project,
P.O. Kiriburu Base Camp,
Dist. Keonjhar, Orissa.

To

Dt. June, 1964

1. The Secretary,
Kiriburu Workers' Union,
Kiriburu (for mere information only)
2. Five Workers' representatives of the Kiriburu
Project as named below:

1. Shri S. K. Tah.	}	Workmen affected.
2. Shri Sukhbir Sahai.		
3. Shri S. Green.		
4. Shri R. P. Puri.		
5. Shri S. Massey.		
3. All the workmen employed at NMDC Kiriburu
Iron Ore Project through Notice Boards
at various Wings.

Dear Sir/Sirs,

In accordance with Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), the Corporation begs to inform you that it is the intention of the Corporation to affect the charges specified in Annexure attached to this letter, with effect from the expiry of 21 days from the date of issue of this notice.

Sd./- (A. N. CHAUBE),
for General Manager,
Representing the NMDC Kiriburu Iron Ore
Project at Kiriburu.

KIRIBURU IRON ORE PROJECT

ADMINISTRATION AND GENERAL DEPARTMENT

Annexure to notice in Form E under Rule 34 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957—Reference office notice No. KP-32(14)/64-Adm. I dated

Rent shall be recovered for residential accommodation from the occupants at the rates specified below:—

- | | |
|---|---|
| (a) Permanent accommodation | 10% of the pay of the employees or the standard rent as calculated under F.R. 45-A whichever is less. |
| (b) Temporary accommodation | (i) 5% of the employee's pay for family accommodation, subject to a maximum of the standard rent under F.R.-45 A.

(ii) 2½% of the employee's pay for single room accommodation, subject to a maximum of the standard rent under F.R. 45-A. |
| (c) Accommodation provided to individual employees in dormitories | No rent to be recovered. |

Other recoveries shall be made from the workmen at the rates specified below:—

- | | |
|-----------------|--------------|
| (a) Conservancy | Re. 1/- p.m. |
|-----------------|--------------|

(b) Furniture

1% of the capital cost of the articles supplied per month according to approved scale and for additional items @2% of the capital cost per month—recovery to be effected in accordance with office order No. KP/61-Adm.I issued under No. KP-13(1)/59-Adm.II dated 15th April, 1961 copy enclosed.

Sd./- (A. N. CHAUBE),
for General Manager.

NATIONAL MINERAL DEVELOPMENT CORPOARTION LTD.
KIRIBURU IRON ORE PROJECT

(Administration Wing)

No. KP-13(1)/59-Adm.II

Dt. April 15, 1961.

OFFICE ORDER NO. KP /61-ADM.I

A copy each of the scales of furniture approved for (i) Managing Director (ii) other officers and staff of the Kiriburu Project, and the rates of hire charges recoverable thereof with effect from April 1, 1961 is enclosed.

Sd./- (S. SRINIVAS),
Administrative Officer.

Scale of furniture for the residence of Managing Director prescribed with effect from April 1, 1961.

1. Springbed with dunlop mattress	—	2
2. Table (Office) (Wooden or Steel)	—	1
3. Sofa Set complete (Cane or Cushion)	—	1
4. Night Table	—	1
5. Easy chair (Cane)	—	2
6. Dining table	—	1
7. Side Corner table/tea-poy	—	2
8. Racks	—	2
9. Almirah (Wardrobe)	—	1
10. Petromax	—	1
11. Duree cotton/Jute carpet	—	4
12. Woollen carpet	—	1
13. Chicks	—	(as per requirement)
14. Bath tub	—	1

N.B.—The monthly rent will be charged @ 1% of the capital cost of the articles supplied on the basis of above scales. For additional items, the rent will be charged @ 2% of the capital cost per month.

Scale of furniture etc. for officers and staff at Kiriburu—Camp prescribed with effect from April 1, 1961

Details of furniture etc.	Officers drawing Rs. 1500 and above	Officers drawing pay of Rs. 1000 to Rs. 1499	Officers drawing pay of Rs. 500 to Rs. 999	Officers drawing Pay of Rs. 250 to Rs. 499	Officers drawing pay from Rs. 150 to Rs. 249	Officers drawing pay of Rs. 60 to Rs. 149	Officers drawing pay below Rs. 60
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Niwar bed	1	1	1	1
2. Charpoy	1	1	1
3. Table writing	1	1	1	1
4. Tepoy for folding table	2	1	1	1	1	1	..
5. Chair	5	3	2	2	1	1	..
6. Deck chair	2	1	1	1

I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
7. Hurricane lamps							
(When Electric lights are not provided)							
8. Jute matting 12' x 10'	2	I
9. Bucket	2	I	I	I	I	I	I

N.B.--The monthly rent will be charged @ 1% of the capital cost of the articles supplied on the basis of above scales. For additional items, the rent will be charged @ 2% of the capital cost per month.

2. Durries will be issued to officers drawing pay Rs. 500/- or more living in Swiss Cottage.

3. Commodes are to be provided only in tents for those drawing over Rs. 500/- or whose other sanitary fittings are not provided.

4. Staff living with their families will be authorised one additional cot (Niwar or Munj according to entitlement) and will be provided as and when available.

NATIONAL MINERAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
KIRIBURU IRON ORE PROJECT

ADMINISTRATION AND GENL. DEPARTMENT

No. KP-32(12)/64-Adm. I.

Dated 24th June, 1964.

FORM E

(See Rule 34)

Notice of change to be given by an employer

Name of Employer and address	National Mineral Development Corporation Ltd. Kiriburu Iron Ore Project, P.O. Kiriburu Base Camp, Dist. Keonjhar, Orissa.
---------------------------------	--

TO

Dated 24th June, 1964.

1. The Secretary,
Kiriburu Workers' Union,
Kiriburu (for mere information only)
2. Five Workers' representatives of the Kiriburu
Project as named below:—
 1. Shri S. K. Tah.
 2. Shri Sukhbir Sahai.
 3. Shri S. Green.
 4. Shri R. P. Puri.
 5. Shri S. Massey.
3. All the workmen employed at NMDC Kiriburu
Iron Ore Project through Notice Boards
at various Wings.

} Workmen affected.

Dear Sir/Sirs,

In accordance with Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), the Corporation begs to inform you that it is the intention of the Corporation to effect the charges specified in Annexure attached to this letter, with effect from the expiry of 21 days from the date of issue of this notice.

Sd./- (D. R. BHARADWAJ),

General Manager,

Representing the NMDC Kiriburu Iron Ore,
Project at Kiriburu.

KIRIBURU IRON ORE PROJECT

ADMINISTRATION AND GENERAL DEPARTMENT

Annexure to notice in Form E under Rule 34 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957—Reference office notice No. KP-32(14)/64-Adm. I, dated 24th June, 1964.

The workers of this mine shall be required to purchase bus pass at the rates specified below for travel by Corporation buses. The entry into the buses will be subject to production of bus pass. The conductor shall refuse entry in the bus if the bus pass is not produced for travelling in the bus.

2. The Corporation buses will ply to and fro from fixed point in the Township, Check Gate in the Service Centre and Check Gate in the Crushing Plant at 790 meter level and also to and fro from Base Camp to the areas indicated above. The movement of bus to Base Camp will be withdrawn at the discretion of the management at a later date.

3. The buses will ply during the specified hours in order to suit the working shifts/office hours etc.

4. The bus pass shall entitle the workers for single travel from fixed point in the Township/Base Camp to Check Gate in the Service Centre and Check Gate in the Crushing Plant and return to Township/Base Camp.

5. The management shall not be liable for any damages etc. in case the buses are not available for travel due to mechanical defects or any other unforeseen reasons. The non-availability of buses shall not be a valid reason either to attend late or to fail to report for duty.

6. The bus pass shall not be transferable.

7. No refund will be admissible in case the bus pass is not used for any portion of the month.

8. Rates for bus pass—

(a) At Rs. 5/- p.m. in the case of employees with basic salary not exceeding Rs. 150/- p.m. and

(b) At Rs. 7/- p.m. in the case of employees with basic salary exceeding Rs. 150/- per month.

9. First come first served principle will be followed as regards seating and standing.

10. For any wilful damage to the bus the workmen concerned will be liable to pay the cost thereof and forfeit the right of future travel at the discretion of the management.

The 24th June, 1964.

Sd./- (D. R. BHARADWAJ),
General Manager.

[No. 23/28/64-LR.I.]

H. C. MANGHANI, Under Secy

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 30th March 1965

S.O. 1175.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Mercantile Bank Ltd. and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management was justified in utilising the services of Shri Indradeo Pandey, Cycle Peon, as a Collecting Sircar from 1954 onwards without paying him the pay of the later post. If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 51(60)/64-I.R.IV.]

New Delhi, the 2nd April 1965

S.O. 1176.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs Sarat Chatterjee and Company (Private) Limited Stevedores, Calcutta and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

1. Whether the demand for permanency of 14 Report Writers, namely S/Shri (1) M. L. Chakraborty, (2) P. K. Halder, (3) S. Chakraborty, (4) K. C. Nalk, (5) H. S. Roy, (6) P. Sen Gupta, (7) G. P. Sarkar, (8) B. K. Mukerjee, (9) R. N. Mijl, (10) K. K. Bhattacharjee, (11) R. N. Chakraborty, (12) P. K. Banerjee, (13) P. Ghose and (14) S. Guha Thakurta under the management of Messrs Sarat Chatterjee and Company Private Limited, Calcutta, is justified? If so, what should be their condition of service in this respect?

2. Whether the demands of the aforesaid workmen in respect of scale of pay, dearness allowance, privilege leave, medical leave, casual leave, overtime allowance, retirement age, provident fund, injury leave, bonus and gratuity are justified? If so, what should be their conditions of service in respect of the above items of the demand?

[No. 28/27/65-LRJV.]

New Delhi, the 3rd April 1965

S.O. 1177.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers, in relation to Messrs Matheson Bosanquet and Company Limited, Cochin and Steamer Tally Clerks' Union, Cochin, in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, constituted under section 7A of the said Act

SCHEDULE

With regard to the conciliation settlement dated the 5th December, 1962 between the various Shipping Agents and the Tally Clerks' Union.

- (a) Whether the Tally Clerks employed by Messrs Matheson Bosanquet and Company Limited, Cochin-3, in s.s. "Smith Builder", "Smith Adventurer" and "Alma Victory" are entitled to payment of "premium";
- (b) What should be the effective working hours for the purpose of payment of such premium;
- (c) If the Tally Clerks employed in the vessels mentioned in item (a) above are entitled to premium, what amounts is payable to each of such Tally Clerks?

[No. 23/23/65-LRIV.]

O. P. TALWAR, Under Secy.